

# OXFORDSHIRE HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT

## Rapid HIA Review Checklist of Hawkwell Village, NW Bicester (Hallam Land)

### Summary:

HIA focuses on :

- Community inclusion
- Healthy neighbourhoods
- Active lifestyles
- Protecting the environment
- Safety and wellbeing
- New and converted housing provision

3,100 dwellings

	CRITERIA	GRADING ADEQUATE (A) FURTHER INFORMATION NEEDED (F) INADEQUATE (I)	COMMENTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WHAT'S MISSING?</li> <li>• ARE THERE ANY WEAKNESSES/WHAT NEEDS STRENGTHENING?</li> <li>• WHAT'S HELPFUL OR COMPLETED WELL?</li> </ul>
<b>Section 1: Description of the proposed development</b>			
1.1	There is a clear description of the project being assessed including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aims and objectives of the proposed development;</li> <li>• Physical characteristics of the site of the proposed development and surrounds;</li> <li>• Characteristics of the proposed development once operational; and</li> <li>• Timescales and durations of the construction and operational phases of the proposed development.</li> </ul>	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clear description of the site's physical characteristics and the proposed development once operational</li> <li>- No timescales re : construction</li> </ul>
1.2	Policy context for the project has been set out, noting any relevant health and wellbeing policies.	A	Need to refer to NHSE Putting Health into Place
<b>Section 2: Identification of population groups affected by the development</b>			
2.1	A process to identify groups of the population likely to be affected by the proposed development has been undertaken.	Inadequate	Need to provide data – including consideration of how the development will impact on health inequalities and vulnerable groups
2.2	Evidence to support the inclusion of identified groups has been provided, this might be presented as a Population Profile and could include quantitative and qualitative information.	Inadequate	
<b>Section 3: Identification of geographical area and associated health priorities</b>			
3.1	A process to identify the geographical scope of the assessment has been undertaken.	Inadequate	
3.2	Health priorities for the affected geographic scope are identified for inclusion in the assessment. Any additional priority themes are also identified for inclusion should they be considered relevant.	Inadequate	No reference to health priorities and how this development will address health and wellbeing issues
<b>Section 4: Assessment of health</b>			
4.1	<b>Baseline</b>		

	<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>GRADING</b> ADEQUATE (A) FURTHER INFORMATION NEEDED (F) INADEQUATE (I)	<b>COMMENTS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WHAT'S MISSING?</li> <li>ARE THERE ANY WEAKNESSES/WHAT NEEDS STRENGTHENING?</li> <li>WHAT'S HELPFUL OR COMPLETED WELL?</li> </ul>
4.1.1	There should be a narrative which interprets the data collected in the context of the HIA.	Inadequate	None provided
4.1.2	The HIA uses robust data sources which could include other key environmental or technical specialists involved in the proposed development	Inadequate	
4.2	<b>Evidence</b>		
4.2.1	The sources of evidence used are relevant to the project and scale of the HIA.	Inadequate	
4.2.2	Evidence and data sources used are clearly referenced.	I	
4.2.3	The quality and depth of evidence is sufficient to inform the assessment of likely impacts.	I	
4.2.4	There is some critical assessment of the literature used.	I	
4.2.5	Any limitations of the evidence collected are highlighted and a rationale provided.	I	
4.3	<b>Stakeholder Engagement</b>		
4.3.1	Evidence of discussion with the appropriate Local Authority Officer to agree a proportionate approach to stakeholder engagement is provided, and this approach has been followed.	I	
4.3.2	The report identifies all stakeholder groups relevant to the health assessment for the proposed development.	I	
4.3.3	The range of stakeholders and the variety of groups that were engaged has been recorded.	F	Need information on health issues raised as part of general stakeholder consultation.
4.3.4	The methods of engagement were appropriate, and their effectiveness evaluated.	?	
4.3.5	There is evidence that information gathered from stakeholders has been used to inform and influence the assessment.	I	
4.4	<b>Health effects</b>		
4.4.1	Any positive impacts, or opportunities to maximise health and wellbeing outcomes, are identified and how they were identified is presented clearly.	F	Some information provided but it needs to address the specific health and wellbeing issues identified – mitigations are very generic
4.4.2	Any negative impacts, gaps or unintended consequences are identified and how they were identified is presented clearly.	I	Mitigations presented re : construction impact on AQ, noise and vibration, water Ch6/7/11 Need clearer mitigation of the impact of take aways – such as proximity to schools/opening hours
4.4.3	It is made clear how each impact identified is supported by the evidence gathered. The strength and sources of evidence for each impact is clearly communicated.	I	

	<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>GRADING</b> ADEQUATE (A) FURTHER INFORMATION NEEDED (F) INADEQUATE (I)	<b>COMMENTS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WHAT'S MISSING?</li> <li>• ARE THERE ANY WEAKNESSES/WHAT NEEDS STRENGTHENING?</li> <li>• WHAT'S HELPFUL OR COMPLETED WELL?</li> </ul>
4.4.4	It is clear who will be impacted, with affected populations explicitly identified, and any potential inequalities in the distribution of impacts are identified.	I	
4.5	<b>Summary</b>		
4.5.1	A conclusion is provided summarising the key outcomes and messages from the assessment, any recommendations to manage health effects, and supporting evidence.	F	Summary needs to be revised once further work undertaken
4.5.2	Any recommendations for further action identify who is responsible for taking forward the action.		
	<p><b>Conclusions of the reviewer:</b>  <i>(Commentary on the overall quality of the HIA identifying key strengths and weaknesses)</i></p> <p>This Health Impact Assessment is both high level and generic. It has not identified the local health priorities that may be impacted by this development nor provided adequate evidence that positive impacts will be delivered and negative ones mitigated.</p> <p>Overall, it is an inadequate assessment and needs significant revision.</p>		