

Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Jennifer Jones"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="19 Lucerne Avenue,Bicester,OX26 3EW"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="Local infrastructures could not cope with this proposed new development. Even the current developments at Kingsmere, local services such as GP services, dentists which residents have always taken for granted have not been able to cope with the huge expansion of population. So with this additional development. the situation would just getting dire. Could the council ensure more GP, dentists etc to cope with the population expansion? Of course, it couldn't. Since it has no authority and resources to ensure those basic services could expand to cope with additional influx of population. By approving the plan, the council is just making the current situation worse, by adding extra misery! Infrastructures such as roads improvements are not yet in place; even the earmarked ones could not be completed due to county council's decision to divert the money to elsewhere. No point in adding extra pressures to current deplorable roads situations as it would just creating further headaches, misery and pains to residents nearby and surrounding areas. Those headaches, misery and pains are not short-term. Most likely ending up being permanent despite council would argue that it's only temporary; but council members are not the ones particularly affected as they might not be residents living nearby and surrounding areas! Only residents living nearby and surrounding areas would understand the effects the new proposed development would bring to them. The cons side stacked up more than the pro side!"/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="27/02/2022 19:00:07"/>
Attachments	