

# Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text" value=""/>
<b>Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Ian Shirvell"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Stonecroft,Bainton Road,Bucknell,Bicester,OX27 7LT"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="In addition to my previous STRONG OBJECTION I would like to add the following, looking over the application forms submitted, the applicant has not provided the full name and address of the owners in the ownership certificate? I feel the residents of Bucknell should be fully aware of who owns and is selling this green field land for development. I can see the applicant has submitted their chosen consultant reports to counter many of the objections submitted so far, however these have been prepared by the applicants appointed consultants, and given that Cherwell has an interest in the application being granted due to the revenue it will generate, I think there is a STRONG NEED FOR FULLY INDEPENDENT IMPARTIAL CONSULTANT REPORTS! It seems so wrong that a resident submits a domestic application for an extension or single house which is met with great objection, then huge organisation seem to build 1000s of houses with what appears to be little resistance from cherwell planning department. As part of this application is there not a requirement to provide additional infrastructure to Bicester? in particular secondary schools, medical and dentist facilities? It's so hard to get places and appointments at the moment. I strongly feel additional facilities should be fully operational before permission is granted for any more houses bordering Bicester. I really can't imagine how much worse it will be with an additional 3000 house!"/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="10/02/2022 17:12:17"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	