

# Comment for planning application 21/04275/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/04275/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Part OS Parcel 8149 Adj Lords Lane And SE Of Hawkwell Farm Lords Lane Bicester"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="OUTLINE - with all matters reserved except for Access - Mixed Use Development of up to 3,100 dwellings (including extra care); residential and care accommodation(C2); mixed use local centre (comprising commercial, business and service uses, residential uses, C2 uses, local community uses (F2(a) and F2(b)), hot food takeaways, public house, wine bar); employment area (B2, B8, E(g)); learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1) including primary school (plus land to allow extension of existing Gagle Brook primary school); green Infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, allotments, landscape, biodiversity and amenity space; burial ground; play space (including Neaps/Leaps/MUGA); changing facilities; ground mounted photovoltaic arrays; sustainable drainage systems; movement network comprising new highway, cycle and pedestrian routes and access from highway network; car parking; infrastructure (including utilities); engineering works (including ground modelling); demolition"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text" value=""/>
<b>Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Patrick Woodrow"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="The Old Bakery,12 Bainton Road,Bucknell,Bicester,OX27 7LT"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="Strong objection to the above application on the following grounds (see attached document for detailed comments) 1. The application does not adhere to the National Planning Policy Framework. 2. The application site extends beyond the allocation boundary and is therefore not in accordance with adopted local plans. 3. The application is speculative and does not address a specific need. 4. The application is not deliverable. 5. There is a strong precedent for the application to be refused planning permission on the basis of decisions made by the Secretary of State regarding similar developments assessed under Recovered Appeal."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="04/02/2022 16:54:48"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	The following files have been uploaded: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Planning Application Comment 2104275OUT.pdf</li></ul>