

# Comment for planning application 21/03522/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	21/03522/OUT
<b>Location</b>	Os Parcel 3673 Adjoining And West Of 161 Rutten Lane Yarnton OX5 1LT Cross Parish Boundary Application: Begbroke and Yarnton Parish Councils
<b>Proposal</b>	The erection of up to 540 dwellings (Class C3), up to 9,000sqm GEA of elderly/extra care residential floorspace (Class C2), a Community Home Work Hub (up to 200sqm)(Class E), alongside the creation of two locally equipped areas for play, one NEAP, up to 1.8 hectares of playing pitches and amenity space for the William Fletcher Primary School, two vehicular access points, green infrastructure, areas of public open space, two community woodland areas, a local nature reserve, footpaths, tree planting, restoration of historic hedgerow, and associated works. All matters are reserved, save for the principal access points.
<b>Case Officer</b>	Samantha Taylor
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Rob Ruck
<b>Address</b>	10 Dashwood Avenue, Yarnton, Kidlington, OX5 1NH
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>This planning application has from day one had zero support from local residents and local councils (with the latter, all of them objecting), and it's not hard to see why: -Destruction of ancient green belt land. -Destruction of ancient farm land. -Destruction of ancient villages and their identities. -Endangering of ancient woodlands. -Overburdening of local services - The GP surgery and school are not in *any way* being given funding to cope with the increase in populace. The single thing being given back is a parcel of land adjacent to the school, that the school cannot use easily due to a road bisecting it from the main building and the school needing to find a spare few million to construct the new buildings. - Overburdening of local transport network - There has been *zero* requirements placed upon developers to improve the roads, footpaths and cycle network that will be adversely affected by the housing. -Overburdening of public transport - Buses travel through the village *once an hour*. This was not accurately referred to within the transport aspect of the impact report that suggested there would not be such an increase in pollution from all of the car transport that is now a requirement. -The housing being built is in no way required to be occluded with any natural features. -The estate provides only basic token gestures towards replacing the loss in ecological habitat and green spaces. -No social housing requirements - The council has permitted the University to build exclusive housing for workers at the science park, and let it be called social housing. -The environmental impact report details the destruction of wildlife habitats, but that because the wildlife are not in any way at risk that the destruction is acceptable. -Has extremely questionable data sources for things within the impact study, particularly noise. -Makes absolutely no suitable suggestions regarding the inevitable flooding that will result from replacing soil with concrete and tarmac on a hill that is so renowned for water that it is in fact called Spring Hill. I would like to know who can be held legally responsible for the failure to address this, whether it be the developers, the council, the university or Thames Water. -Does *nothing* to address the long term animosity that will be felt towards new residents. -Provides *nothing* in the way of employment options for the new residents (not all of them will be employees of the Begbroke science park). And also puts a hard dependency on the science park remaining open and in business. -Further increases the chances of severe air related disasters, as the once empty countryside adjacent to the airport are now being covered in residential housing. This particular aspect was barely referenced in the impact report, and was referred to as something that would need to be revisited later. This will be presumably be after a plane has crash landed, destroying housing and killing a number of residents.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	06/12/2021 14:06:10
<b>Attachments</b>	