

Comment for planning application 21/03522/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/03522/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Os Parcel 3673 Adjoining And West Of 161 Rutten Lane Yarnton OX5 1LT Cross Parish Boundary Application: Begbroke and Yarnton Parish Councils"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="The erection of up to 540 dwellings (Class C3), up to 9,000sqm GEA of elderly/extra care residential floorspace (Class C2), a Community Home Work Hub (up to 200sqm)(Class E), alongside the creation of two locally equipped areas for play, one NEAP, up to 1.8 hectares of playing pitches and amenity space for the William Fletcher Primary School, two vehicular access points, green infrastructure, areas of public open space, two community woodland areas, a local nature reserve, footpaths, tree planting, restoration of historic hedgerow, and associated works. All matters are reserved, save for the principal access points."/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Samantha Taylor"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value=""/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Martin Oldfield"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Village End, Spring Hill Road, Begbroke, Kidlington, OX5 1RX"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="1. The PR9 scheme is an appalling use of the Oxford Green Belt land, which serves to separate the city of Oxford from the surrounding villages. The scheme is based on an inaccurate, out of date estimate of Oxford's unmet housing need and should never have been allowed to go ahead. As an Oxford University emeritus professor, I am appalled that Merton College should seek to make a once-only profit by developing land which was surely theirs on the understanding that they would protect the Green Belt. They should be ashamed! 2. The 540 dwellings proposed will add to the A44 traffic, a road which already runs at full capacity at peak times. 3. The proposal of having up to four story buildings adjacent to the A44 is highly undesirable from a visibility point of view, and the inhabitants of these unnecessarily massive blocks will suffer from A44 road noise. The height of all of the development should be reduced to a maximum of two stories. 4. What safeguards are in place to preserve the reduced Green Belt land in the scheme? What is to prevent Merton expanding the scheme into the remaining Green Belt? Will there be a defensible Green Belt boundary around the residential area? 5. I am strongly opposed to the proposed ruining of green belt land. The scheme should not be allowed to proceed."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="27/11/2021 12:37:54"/>
Attachments	