

Comment for planning application 21/03522/OUT

Application Number	21/03522/OUT
Location	Os Parcel 3673 Adjoining And West Of 161 Rutten Lane Yarnton OX5 1LT Cross Parish Boundary Application: Begbroke and Yarnton Parish Councils
Proposal	The erection of up to 540 dwellings (Class C3), up to 9,000sqm GEA of elderly/extra care residential floorspace (Class C2), a Community Home Work Hub (up to 200sqm)(Class E), alongside the creation of two locally equipped areas for play, one NEAP, up to 1.8 hectares of playing pitches and amenity space for the William Fletcher Primary School, two vehicular access points, green infrastructure, areas of public open space, two community woodland areas, a local nature reserve, footpaths, tree planting, restoration of historic hedgerow, and associated works. All matters are reserved, save for the principal access points.
Case Officer	Samantha Taylor
Organisation Name	
Name	Nigel Clark
Address	115 Rutten Lane, Yarnton, Kidlington, OX5 1LT
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Dear Sir/Madam, I would like to express my deep concern and disappointment and opposition with the proposed development outlined in the plans for Land West of 161 Rutten Lane. I live at 115 Rutten lane; this proposed development on green belt land will be directly behind my property boundary. I moved here over 30 years ago, the main reasons for this decision where: The views across open field (green belt) behind the property. Quiet solace to reflect on the countryside and wildlife including deer, and a wide variety of birds. A village life with a charm and community spirit. No urban sprawl The building of this development would result in all of the above benefits and reasons for moving and living here will be lost. In its place this quiet location will be replaced with the noise screaming children at school playing times and probably outside times with unsociable no doubt occurring at other times. I don't think anyone would honestly say that they would enjoy the sound of screaming children and that this would be acceptable to them having enjoyed the quiet of the countryside. There appears to be some vain attempt to reduce this noise is through the use of a sound barrier! The Building of property and roads will also bring with it additional noise and disruption to us and all the other 30+ properties whose boundary and gardens are adjacent to this field covered in this application. There is already high risk of damage and injury due to cars 'parked' along Rutten Lane at drop off and pick up times for the school. Buses are blocked and there is chaos which will only increase. Our views across open field/farmland and trees are going to be taken way with this development. The health and wellbeing of those living in and around the village will be reduced. The wildlife and birdlife we enjoy will also disappear form view. The charm of a village community is going to be eroded if this development goes ahead with yet another development being put into this area. The villages will become joined up and lose their unique identity and community spirit I am of no doubt that little or no concern will be given to minimise the impact to us living on Rutten Lane, like having a woodland buffer between us and any development etc. I also object to the plans on basis there is plenty of land that is adjacent to the village running along the A44 dual carriage way between Yarnton and Woodstock that would have no impact to local existing housing. Instead for some inexplicable reason other than cost saving and profit The development appears to be about greed, of all those involved, maximising profit. Utilising the utilities/infrastructure of the existing housing with total disregard for health and wellbeing of us and those other residents along Rutten Lane. Further Points: The primary school also has a large park sited directly opposite them with a pedestrian crossing for safe access to and from the school. If the school requires an additional playing area, then this is the correct place for this to be developed/used. You are taking away from us all that we love and enjoy about where we live, creating an area of urban sprawl with the disbenefits for us of: Greater air pollution Loss of agriculture land Building on green belt Creating noise pollution Removal of our views/scenery Lifestyle - health and wellbeing Property devaluation In summary I am opposed to the development, for all the reasons previously given. The reasons cannot be overcome with any measure of planning, they are simply and clearly going to provide for a fundamental change and reduction in the benefits of why we moved to this house 35 years ago. Ultimately this has already having an effect on my health and wellbeing with the thought of the removal of our rural views and relatively quiet natural location. Something which clearly is not being considered by those pursuing and supporting</p>

the development.

Received Date

09/11/2021 19:47:00

Attachments