

Comment for planning application 21/03452/TEL56

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/03452/TEL56"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Street Record Station Road Kirtlington"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Proposed 15.0m Phase 8 Monopole C/W wrapround Cabinet at base and associated ancillary works."/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="John Cosgrove"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Tom Jamond"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="2 Troy Lane, Kirtlington"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="I strongly object to this planning request and its second associated planning request. The objections should be taken together as a whole for the two submissions for the 5G mast at this site. The submission for the proposed mast and associated cabinets is misleading, there are no large trees or existing street furniture that this would blend in with at this location, it would dwarf everything. It would also sit in front of a carefully preserved and recently repaired historic Cotswold dry stone wall and not a 'brick wall' that they claim in the application. The mast would be completely out of keeping with the rest of the village and the very minor existing street scene. It would stick out like a sore thumb surrounded on all sides by residents who would be forced to look at it every day, it would also be visible to all on entry and exit of the village, forever blighting this historical village. Not to mention the proposed location is a conservation area and placing this here would be incredibly damaging to the preservation of the area. The installation of these masts should not be done at the destruction of our preserved rural areas especially when there are plenty of alternative locations that could be used. This should be moved to a location that would be less obvious and far less impactful on the majority of residents than this. There has also been no consultation with village residents on this which is incredibly disrespectful and demonstrates a lack of care by CK Hutchinson to work to install these masts in more appropriate and agreeable locations. They have clearly proposed this location as it is cheapest for them, with complete disregard for the significant negative long term impact that the installation of a 15m monstrosity at this location would have on local residents and the village as a whole and the destruction it would cause to a well preserved conservation area. Any one who has ever visited the village would recognise that the proposed location makes no sense for this installation. The submission for planning permission at this location should be firmly rejected."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="27/10/2021 21:48:06"/>
Attachments	