

Comment for planning application 21/03452/TEL56

Application Number	21/03452/TEL56
Location	Street Record Station Road Kirtlington
Proposal	Proposed 15.0m Phase 8 Monopole C/W wrapround Cabinet at base and associated ancillary works.
Case Officer	John Cosgrove
Organisation Name	Stephanie Hilborne
Address	Sunnyside, Troy Lane, Kirtlington, Kidlington, OX5 3HA
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>I object to this application for development within 50m of our house. In terms of process: 1. The Council has not informed me of this planning application or the separate application 21/03350/TEL for what is clearly the same single development. 2. The submission of two separate applications is cynical and I am surprised the Council has not merged them and also informed the residents directly affected. 3. I would welcome an explanation as to why a notice was not posted through my door given its obvious impact on all the properties near the junction. In terms of impact: 1. A 15m mast would be a blight on the appearance of our village and the surrounding landscape - therefore "visually intrusive" and "incongruous" 2. We recently bought a house in a Conservation Area and invested many tens of thousands of pounds on a mortgage - this is likely to reduce the value of our house. 3. The application is dishonest and the developer should be challenged for saying that: a. "The equipment is adjacent to tall vertical columns (telegraph pole)..... ..the combined street furniture allows the monopole to more easily blend into the street scene." A brief visit to the site would make it clear that this would not be the case at all with no high trees directly adjacent and telegraph poles being dwarfed. b. Their drawings shows a brick wall as background when in fact there is a newly restored beautiful dry stone wall against which these cabinets would sit - and be extremely ugly and disfiguring. c. They say "The proposed works are not to the visual detriment of the surrounding area (being suitably distant from sensitive receptors). The proposal would not result in demonstrable harm to the character of the immediate or wider area." The site is opposite some beautiful old cottages, is within sight of tens of houses and gardens, very close to our property and our neighbours and visible from houses along Bletchingdon Road. It would stand out for miles around and be ugly and intrusive ruining the attractive entrance to our village. d. The application does not show the true impact of how invasive this structure will be to the whole village. e. There is factually incorrect information including a drawing that includes a tree that doesn't exist and photos exclude the houses that exist all around it in direct line of the mast. This is one of two blatantly connected planning applications which I object to for all the above reasons and in summary: 1. The visual impact on this village and the Conservation Area would be very negative indeed - the wording in the application suggesting it is in keeping with the location was blatantly untrue 2. This is a rural junction with hedges and trees around it with a deep road verge opposite which we have sown with wildflower seed within the last year 3. The power usage for these masts is extremely high at a time when climate change mitigation has never been more urgent. 4. We do not want 5G coverage or need it given the broad band available in the village 5. The long term health impacts of these masts is as yet unknown.</p>
Received Date	24/10/2021 21:57:22
Attachments	