

# Comment for planning application 21/03350/TEL

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/03350/TEL"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Street Record Station Road Kirtlington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Proposed 5G Telecommunications Installation"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="John Cosgrove"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Briony Enser"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="18 Park Close,Kirtlington,Kidlington,OX5 3HR"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="Telecoms application 21/03350/TEL. I wish to object strongly to this application. My reasons for objecting to the proposed mast are as follows: 1. Any rural village would be a totally unsuitable location for a mast of this size. It could never blend into the local character of the village. 2. The proposed site is also in the designated conservation area of the village, so emphasizing its unsuitability in a domestic rural setting. 3. The mast is not needed in this location as the village itself is very well connected with fast fibre broadband. 4. Such a mast would impact on the overall village in the landscape, as the village church is the only structure of similar height in the village. 5. There must be several, far more suitable, alternative sites outside a village setting. If the mast must be on a main road and/or if the particular elevation above sea level is an issue: a. There are suitable sections of the A4095 outside the village to the north which are on the same contour/height above sea level. b. Near-by sections of the A4260 are at a considerably greater height above sea level than the A4095 in this area."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="28/10/2021 21:20:56"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	