

Comment for planning application 21/03350/TEL

Application Number	21/03350/TEL
Location	Street Record Station Road Kirtlington
Proposal	Proposed 5G Telecommunications Installation
Case Officer	John Cosgrove
Organisation Name	
Name	Peter and Tracey shaw
Address	The Granary,Heyford Road,Kirtlington,Kidlington,OX5 3HS
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Dear Sir/Madam We are writing to object to the planning application number 21/03350/TEL. Our reasons are as follows: First, many villagers have been unaware of this application. Even villagers living in the visual vicinity have not been written to and have not seen written, posted notices relating to the application, finding out only through Facebook or word of mouth. The 15m (near 50ft) mast and associated apparatus would be "visually intrusive" and "incongruous" in the prominent position proposed. It would fail to conserve the landscape qualities of the conservation area being seen not only from nearby residences of Bletchington Road, Oxford Road and Troy Lane, but also from as far away as the Oxford Arms/Post Office central area of the village together with the residences of Gossway Fields. A Conservation area is an area with special architectural or historic interest, with character or appearance that is desirable to preserve or enhance. The mast will make the area less 'desirable' and certainly not enhance it in any way. There are in excess of 30 houses and gardens within the conservation area and elsewhere in direct line of the proposed mast. The siting of this mast is entirely inappropriate, directly in front of attractive houses on entry to the village meaning it would be a constant eyesore, to anyone entering or leaving the village. In addition, it would be seen for miles around in the open countryside meaning it would have an intrusive and negative impact on the nearby residences, village as a whole and open countryside surroundings. We are sure returning to the drawing board there can be a suitable solution that will less affect the visually attractive village of Kirtlington and its inhabitants, somewhere that is not so highly visible. Presumably if this mast is to benefit both Bletchington and Kirtlington then a location remotely in a field would surely be better. I know that would probably cost more because cabling would be required but on the other hand are they choosing to put it in a central location where we all have to look at it in order to save money? We object to the above planning application. Yours faithfully Tracey and Peter Shaw</p>
Received Date	28/10/2021 17:03:14
Attachments	