Comment for planning application 21/03350/TEL

Application Number	21/03350/TEL	
Location	Street Record Station Road Kirtlington	
Proposal	Proposed 5G Telecommunications Installation	
Case Officer	John Cosgrove	
Organisation Name	Celia Hawkesworth	
Address		
	Woodbine Cottage, Troy Lane, Kirtlington, Kidlington, OX5 3HA	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Type Comments	neighbour	
	The 15m (near 50ft) mast and associated apparatus would be "visually intrusive" and "incongruous" in a prominent position on the Oxford Road (A4095)/Bletchington Road. It would entirely fail to conserve the landscape qualities of the conservation area. A Conservation Area is an area of special architectural or historic interest, so designated in order to preserve or enhance its character or appearance. The application states: "The equipment is adjacent to tall vertical columns (telegraph pole), with additional tall vertical columns across the further pavements. There are high reaching mature trees dispersed around the surrounding area, where the combined street furniture allows the monopole to more easily blend into the street scene." Any inspection of the site demonstrates that this above statement is misleading. The proposed mast dwarfs the existing street furniture in the vicinity which consists of: a wooden telegraph pole; an attractive signpost dating back to the 1930s; a traditional road nameplate; a give-way sign; a low profile wooden traffic calming box filled with plants. There is no relevant existing 'street furniture' for the mast to integrate with. Furthermore, the mast will not blend in with trees in the vicinity. The artist's impression is misleading in this respect. The fig.2 photograph supplied in the application itself makes this clear. Further the proposed elevation drawing shows a 'brick wall' as backgrounding the apparatus cabinets - this is inaccurate and misleading: it is in fact an ancient Cotswold dry stone wall against which these cabinets would sit incongruously. The application further states: "The proposed works are not to the visual deriment of the surrounding area (being suitably distant from sensitive receptors). The proposal would not result in demonstrable harm to the character of the immediate or wider area." This is demonstrably not true in that there are in excess of 30 houses and gardens within the conservation area and elsewhere in direct line of the p	
Received Date	26/10/2021 07:20:35	
Attachments		