

# Comment for planning application 21/03350/TEL

<b>Application Number</b>	21/03350/TEL
<b>Location</b>	Street Record Station Road Kirtlington
<b>Proposal</b>	Proposed 5G Telecommunications Installation
<b>Case Officer</b>	John Cosgrove
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Diane Clark
<b>Address</b>	14 Roman Close, Kirtlington, Kidlington, OX5 3EX
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>1) Lack of demand - 97% of premises in the district are able to gain Superfast Broadband (Digital Connectivity Paper refers - 17 March 2020) without the mast. 2) Health - an unknown level of risk exists. 2011 World Health Organisation defined radio frequency radiation as 'possible carcinogenic to humans' on the introduction of 3G. With 5G the risks in theory could be higher. What data has been gathered around emissions for full evaluation of this proposal? Public Health England states 'health effects unlikely to occur if exposures are below international guideline levels'. This is not a guarantee that health will not be at risk, just that the risk can be controlled. Is the low percentage of residences within the district, unable to access Superfast broadband a real justification for taking any risk to health albeit it low? Philip Watts (Trustee of EM Radiation Research Trust) states 'it cannot be right to give telecommunication companies carte blanche to invade....residential streets with controversial technologies'. In years to come this may not be considered controversial technology, but at present it is - health must be considered more important than fast broadband! 3) Design of the tower - the pure height of this proposed mast (15M) makes it imposing, whether in a rural setting such as Kirtlington or in a built up area. Has any design engineer considered alternative colours to white? The existing BT phone masts blend in with surroundings made of natural materials. The 5G masts are unsightly and as such will definitely have a negative impact on the local setting. affecting those directly in the immediate vicinity of the mast. Why does this 5G mast proposal appear to be exempt from the rules and regulations that exist to protect a conservation area? These rules and regulations exist 'to protect the historical and architectural elements which make the place special' On what level does the mast comply with these rules and regulations? I hope you will take into consideration my objections to the location of the mast. I sincerely believe the demand for faster broadband is an assumption rather than an absolute fact and until the benefits can be proven to outweigh the risks I hope that CDC will listen to the communities feedback and support us accordingly. Diane Clark</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	25/10/2021 16:48:13
<b>Attachments</b>	