

Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Application Number | <input type="text" value="21/03268/OUT"/> |
| Location | <input type="text" value="OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley"/> |
| Proposal | <input type="text" value="Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure"/> |
| Case Officer | <input type="text" value="David Lowin"/> |
| Organisation Name | <input type="text" value="james hall"/> |
| Address | <input type="text" value="8 The Lane,Fritwell,Bicester,OX27 7QW"/> |
| Type of Comment | <input type="text" value="Objection"/> |
| Type | <input type="text" value="neighbour"/> |
| Comments | <input type="text" value="This is a rural location - simply on that basis alone is should be rejected. It will set a precedence for the A43 to become a distribution/warehouse/manufacturing high way. If there is a business need for more locations of this type then there are more appropriate locations in Bicester/Banbury. The scale of these sites are huge/disproportionate to anything on the nearby landscape To build a house/extension/ is any of the villages around this location there is a list as long as your arm of planning and conservation laws. But 2 miles up the road its ok to build a huge metal box - tell me which has more impact on preserving and enhance the character and appearance of such areas? Some perspective is needed. I'm not saying don't build, far from it, but make sure its relevant to the location and this simply isnt."/> |
| Received Date | <input type="text" value="30/04/2022 20:59:20"/> |
| Attachments | |