Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

Application Number	21/03268/OUT
Location	OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley
Proposal	Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure
Case Officer	David Lowin
Organisation	
Name	LISA SOPER
Address	Bainton Lodge,Street Through Bainton,Bainton,Bicester,OX27 8RL
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	Dear sir/ madam, Here are my objections to developments at Junction 10- M40, Baynards Green Roundabout, A43,B4100. Planning application ;- 21/03268/OUT Reasons;- Development is not small, it will completely change the character and aspect of the area. From open rural farmland to urban industry. The local plan has no allocation for this type of development in, near or around these road junctions. It advocates retaining the open field, rural character and open aspect that we currently enjoy. When the M40 was built, there were clauses around the motorway junctions to stop opportunist development into the open countryside. So far Junction 10,M40 has been protected unlike Junction 9 or 11 (- where urbanisation along the motorways length has destroyed the wildlife and rural aspect to a sea of warehousing and development.) The roads along the proposed development are already at capacity. It is a recognised bottleneck at peak times, with long queues of traffic in all directions. Adding more traffic and creating smaller roundabouts along the north and southbound carriageway of the B4100, will create more pinch points and congestion, further exacerbating the problem. No infrastructure for the development, only safe access will be for motor vehicles. There is no bus route, public footpath or cycleway that would make access to either site available or safe for those using it. There have been several traffic accidents along this stretch of roads which will only increase with more vehicles using the roads. The sites will increase the light pollution, noise pollution, and pollution from vehicles either going to the sites or queuing because of increase in traffic, whilst being constructed and when in use. There is no infrastructure for utilities either, litter will also increase. This development will destroy wildlife habitat, wildlife corridors and open fields which our local wildlife need to live and thrive. The site is adjacent to Stoke woods, an ancient woodland which is home to a range of wildlife, which depend on the wood as well as the surrounding fields and hedgerow to live. This goes against the government policies of protecting wildlife, ecosystems and biodiversity which farmers and landowners are being encouraged to not only protect, but promote and enhance. The height of the proposed buildings will be totally intrusive and block the existing views. Particularly affecting those people living adjacent and in close proximity to the sites. The public rights of way are well used, Stoke woods is especially popular with walkers. Walking in the countryside, away from urban buildings and associated pollution, is promoted for the wellbeing and mental health of all. The sites do not impede the rights of way but they will destroy the outlook, quietness and cleaner air we currently have, reducing the health benefits of exercising in the countryside. There has been much development of green land around Bicester for warehousing in recent years. These developments have unused warehouse space available, showing there is no local need to build more, especially when it will destroy more countryside. There are plenty of warehouse jobs in the area, no need for more of this low level of paid employment. Not enough to warrant the destruction of farmland - food production and habitat. The public consultation did not include local residents who will be mostly affected by the developments. The ability to comment on these proposals, has come through the public consultation for further warehouse proposals adjacent to this site. There is considerable worry that allowing one planning application to go ahead will reduce any ability to stop further developments and in fact bring back previous applications that were not permitted, in a 'snowball' effect. I strongly object to these planning proposals. Please consider all of the above when making your decisions. Yours sincerely Lisa Soper
Received Date	14/02/2022 17:46:00

Attachments