Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

Application Number 21/03268/OUT

Location

OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley

Proposal

Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure

Case Officer

David Lowin

Organisation

Name

Brenda Hazel

Address

6 The Green, Stoke Lyne, Bicester, OX27 8SB

Type of Comment

Objection

Type

neighbour

Comments

J10 of the M40 is in a rural location away from the local towns. It would therefore be inappropriate to have the developments proposed in applications 21/03266, 03267 and 03268 at such a location and I would like to register my objections. There is no sizeable local community to staff such a development no public transport to bring workers in from elsewhere. Leaving aside how unpleasant the construction phase would be for local residents, once complete as well as generating extra traffic in terms of HGVs delivery to and from warehouses etc, there would also be extra vehicle movements from staff driving to and from work. I have lived in Stoke Lyne since the mid 90s and I have watched how traffic levels at the Baynard's Green roundabout have increased over the years. It has become increasingly difficult to cross that roundabout and sometimes you need nerves of steel. Delays and tailbacks already occur at peak hours with emissions from stationery vehicles polluting the air. I have a friend in Bicester who will not use that roundabout, preferring to drive to Banbury by a longer "backroad" route through several villages. Any increase in traffic volumes and holdups at Baynard's Green will force more people on to such rural backroutes. It is already difficult for Stoke Lyne villagers to turn on the B4100 at certain times of day and the increased traffic which would be generated by these developments will make it worse. Furthermore the land covered by these proposals is open countryside. Surely in this day and age we should be endeavouring out of a sense of duty to future generations to preserve as much countryside as possible and not entomb it under concrete. Any buildings erected on these sites will have a negative visual impact on the neighbouring area for both local residents and the wildlife, both during the day and at night time from any security lighting. There would also be increased background noise from traffic movements and activities on the sites concerned (especially during the construction phase). These plans need rejecting.

Received Date

04/02/2022 11:19:38

Attachments