

# Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/03268/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="David Lowin"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="David Bryant"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="The Old Rectory Cottage, Street Through Stoke Lyne, Stoke Lyne, Bicester, OX27 8RU"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="I object to the above application for a series of different reasons which I shall list below. The site is not included in the Cherwell local Plan nor is it allocated for employment. If permission is granted it would set a bad precedent for any future development. The site is in open countryside and is not at all suitable for this kind of development. The harm to the landscape would be immense. The B4100 is already an incredibly busy road and could not cope with any additional traffic in particular HGV traffic. It is already at capacity. It would have a hugely unfavourable impact on Stoke Lyne as a village and the grade 2 listed church such as and including increased pollution, visual harm, noise pollution, loss of important habitat for wildlife. Bicester and the surrounding area is already buckling under the pressure of new developments. There are vacant units in all of the recently developed sites which suggests that the supply and demand model is not working efficiently. I suggest that the area has taken it's fair share of Cherwell's commitment to planning in line with government proposals, and CDC should say no to this latest development. I strongly object in every possible way."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="31/01/2022 17:36:58"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	