Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

Application Number	21/03268/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley	
Proposal	Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure	
Case Officer	David Lowin	
Organisation Name	Veronica Barnes	
Address	Honeysuckle Cottage,11 Hethe Road,Hardwick,Bicester,OX27 8SS	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments Received Date	this site is not allocated for current agricultural use. To over 50 hectares of land, prioritised over this site. If development has an extre- them visible for many mile pollution. Noise will also ed discomfort to villagers an unsuited to a such a large assault on the senses of I enjoy the North Oxfordsh road system would not be development. Traffic betw petrol station to J10 on the egress from the M40. Tail Green are already created the M40 is already a malf road system this would w frustrated motorists (alre- in Hardwick) which have pedestrians and other vul- because of the rural nature employees, could only be area. Has an environment carbon footprint, and its i caused by the development local residents. The area population. Unemployment lower than the national and employment opportunities significant distances. Surfareas of high unemployment or for the floodgates' to out open the floodgates' to out open the floodgates' to out open the floodgates' to out open the floodgates' to out other in the floodgates' to out out the floodgates' to out out the floodgates' to out out out out out out out out out	oposal on the following grounds: In the Cherwell DC Local Plan, or employment, or any other use. It shows the site retaining its There are many other alternative sites in Cherwell, amounting to which have been allocated for employment and should be Why have a 'Local Plan' and then ignore it! The proposed emely large footprint. These buildings have great height, making es and the more so at night, when they would emit significant light emanate from the warehouses day and night causing great d noise pollution. The site is in open countryside, which is entirely e-scale industrial development. The development would be an ocal residents and the many visitors who currently and regularly ire countryside. In short, it would be a complete eyesore. The local e able to cope with the additional volume of traffic generated by the yeen the set of roundabouts on the A43 from the Baynards Green he M40 regularly comes to a standstill now - sometimes blocking backs of traffic wishing to travel north towards the A43 at Baynards d well passed the Stoke Lyne turning on the B4100. Junction 10 on unctioning junction and without massive redevelopment of the local orsen many fold. There would no doubt be more "rat running" of ady driving at speed) through local villages, (including where I live no pavements, thereby posing a very real danger to life and limb to nerable road-users (e.g. walkers, cyclists and horse-riders). Also, re of the location, access to such a development for visitors and by road vehicle, which would add to the traffic congestion in the t sustainability audit been conducted to determine the increased mpact on global warming, of the additional traffic movements nt? The proposal provides no economic or employment benefits for has a very low rate of unemployment as a percentage of the nt in Cherwell is both lower than the average for Oxfordshire and verage. Therefore, there is no need to create additional s here. Indeed, employees for the site would need to travel ely, it would be more sensible to build developments l
Neceiveu Date	31/01/2022 17:01:05	

Attachments