

# Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/03268/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="David Lowin"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Kelly Holden-White"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="6 The Close,Stoke Lyne,Bicester,OX27 8RZ"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection in relation to Framptons Planning Project 21/03268/OUT As a resident of Stoke Lyne village, I strongly object to the proposed Frampton's Planning Development named 'Symmetry Park Ardley' on the following grounds: Bicester and the surrounding area has, in the last 2-3 years, been subject to heavy logistics development to the south at 'Bicester Gateway', to the east at 'Symmetry Park Bicester M40 J9' and to the north east at Axis Junction 9. Older developments which are, and have been extended, include outlets at Charbridge Lane, Telford Road and Launton Road, all add to this area of the county already being heavily developed for these purposes. I propose that Bicester has already delivered a substantial development plan and improvements to infrastructure to provide for these developments are already buckling under the pressure. There are vacant units in all of these recently developed sites which suggests that the supply and demand model is not working efficiently. I suggest that the area has taken it's fair share of Cherwell's commitment to planning in line with government proposals, and CDC should say no to this latest development. The proposed development is on green belt land, currently farmed and unspoilt, providing crops and habitats to a range of species as opposed to a brown field site where these benefits cannot be suggested. It has to be questioned why such land would be chosen over sites which otherwise lay dormant. There are approximately 52 hectares of allocated employment land in the District for which planning permission has not yet been sought. This should be considered before unallocated, unspoilt land. Stoke Wood, owned by The Woodland Trust, lies adjacent to the proposed development location, a very popular location for people from Bicester and surrounding towns to come and walk, getting exercise and a break from busy town life. If the development went ahead, these visitors would lose a local, quiet, unspoilt location and would instead be visiting a woodland damaged by noise, air and light pollution. People's mental health should be considered and the provision of free leisure activities is vital for keeping people happy. I disagree with the proposers suggestion that employment would be a benefit of the proposed development as unemployment rates in Cherwell are lower than average for Oxfordshire and lower than the national average - there is no identified need to create employment in this area. The impact of commercial development so close to residents of Stoke Lyne village, Baynards Green and Tusmore Estate would be so that noise and light pollution considerably reduce the quality of life. This effect would also be felt by users of the many walking routes and bridal ways which have been in place for hundreds of years."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="29/01/2022 22:32:32"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	