Comment for planning application 21/03268/OUT

Application Number	21/03268/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 2636 NW Of Baynards House Ardley	
Proposal	Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; hard and soft landscaping including noise attenuation measures; and other associated infrastructure	
Case Officer	David Lowin	
Organisation Name	Sue Mackrell	
Address	Stoke Wood House, Street From Baynards Green To Bucknell, Stoke Lyne, Bicester, OX27 8RS	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments I wish to object to this applicat as employment land in the Loca open countryside. Indeed, ther in the district that have not yet sets out the need to supply job employment needs identified in Where existing employment sit proposed use of these sites acc here to ensure the efficient use use valuable countryside. The ac- in traffic, especially the B4100 significant increases in HGV use increased risk of serious accided the use of public transport for va- transport and would rely on the an already stressed road netword flooding, preventing natural 'ru warmer, wetter winters. The sc off water system, increasing th and ecological view, light pollut detrimental effect on the local va- and noise. The area is well use and being so close to Stoke Wo harm both flora and fauna. Wild surroundings. This development Woods, Stoke Bushes and Little so would eventually die out. Su of our natural resources, not ded development would be felt by t travel here to seek out peace of exercise. The many people who amongst the ancient woodland a Garden Town does not have r		oplication in the strongest possible terms. The site is not allocated ne Local Plan, and has not been identified for any use other than d, there are hectares of land already identified for employment land not yet had any planning permission sought. The Local Plan clearly oly jobs close to where they are needed, and there are no ified in this location. Local Plan Para B.39 (page 43) clearly states ent sites have good transport links for commercial vehicles and the tes accords with the Local Plan we will encourage new development on tuse of land on these sites and in our towns, avoiding the need to . The existing road network is unsuitable for the inevitable increase 44100 which can hardly cope with current traffic volumes, let alone GV use and will cause major hardship to local residents, as well as accidents. Bicester is supposed to be a 'Garden Town', encouraging rt for work and leisure purposes. This site is not accessible by public on the use of the private car, further increasing the traffic load on network. It is well known that development adds to the issue of irral 'run off' during periods of rain. Climate change is resulting in The scale of development proposed would put pressure onto the run sing the risk of flooding in the surrounding areas and villages. From pollution, air pollution and noise pollution would have a major local wildlife. Owls and bats particularly are very sensitive to light ell used by various species of wildlife, particularly owls and bats, ske Woods, a well-known ancient woodland, this development would a. Wildlife needs corridors to connect to other pockets of suitable optiment would destroy the connecting corridors between Stoke d Little Stoke Woods. Wildlife do not thrive in isolated pockets and but. Surely in this world of climate change we should be taking care not deliberately destroying them. The visual harm of this et by the residents of Stoke Lyne village and the many people who eace of the countryside for recreational, health giving walks and le who travel from the local
Received Date	25/01/2022 17:48:08	
Attachments		