Comment for planning application 21/03267/OUT

Application Number	21/03267/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 0006 South East Of Baynards House Adjoining A43 Baynards Green	
Proposal	Outline planning permission (all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of buildings comprising logistics (Use Class B8) and ancillary Office (Use Class E(g)(i)) floorspace and associated infrastructure; construction of new site access from the B4100; creation of internal roads and access routes; and hard and soft landscaping	
Case Officer	David Lowin	
Organisation		
Name	Ernest Whitney	
Address	Birch Cottage, School Lane,, Stoke Lyne,, Bicester OX27 8RU	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	Dear Sirs I write in opposition to this planning proposal. More than two decades ago I was attracted to this area to live. Much of my attraction was to the unspoiled open green-space and the limited encroachment of industry. This is a good space for me, my family, my animals, all of us and I make use of the area surrounding my village every day. Additionally Stoke Wood, the surrounding bridle ways and foot paths attract many people who apprecial the opportunity to come to the countryside and enjoy it for themselves with their finilies. I walk my dogs several times a day near and always within sight of these places of proposed development. Along with other walkers, cyclists and horse riders, I take the time to enjoy the plentiful flora and fauna. The area appears to me as a place for nature. A green-space worthy of preserving. Much of the lands near 110 of the M40 have been set to agriculture and woodland for many generations. Well husbanded farmalad, woodlands and hedgerows should be seen as precious in themselves not only for today, but for tomorrow as well and I seem to recall preservation of the environment being a governmental priority. The area is rather flat and the views here stretch far and wide. Seeing the proposed developments cou not be avoided or concealed, noise from it would be heard, locally traffic would increase along with the inevitable increased pollution associated with man's industrialising of the landscape. The development lacks sustainability. Once developed where do the workers come from? There are no discernible nearby sources of labour, at least not immediately. Travel of the workforce to and from, by car, would increase the already near saturation of the local road network. Traffic improvements are overdue as there is insufficiant capacity now. Exhaust emissions build every time the traffic queues up with the waiting lines of traffic, in particular are the approaches to Baynards Green and the 110 of the M40. How would the developers provide the offsets to remedy traffic? Encourage bic	I d o e e e e to, e fut

developments? As mentioned, I walk my dogs along roads and a public right of way known locally as The Length. I did so today and will do so again tomorrow. Would the local rights of way be altered? Would the development offset or make allowances for the wildlife, insects and the birds and the bees? Bees and other pollinators being very important to agriculture for the rest of the agricultural land. This will add pressure onto and will not benefit the wildlife, the environment or by extension, any one of us. Where does this end? There is seemingly no limit to the appetite for development? Why not expand the existing developments at J9 or J11? Both are closer to existing labour markets and have bus services and cycle lanes now. Yes, here comes the word/concept you should be looking for in any potential development "sustainability." Why not redevelop more of the space for example the northern side of RAF Upper Heyford? It would be closer to the proposed rail interchange and therefore potentially deliver a more integrated transportation solution with the benefit of it being a brownfield development in lieu of a green one. I move that you decide to retain the countryside we all need. Greens-space that the Environment Agency says it prioritises; and deny planning permissions in the area around J10 of the M40 as they will impact too heavily on the area, provide too little in return and because of its remoteness from the source of a workforce, its unsustainability. Yours sincerely, Ernest Whitney

Received Date 10/02/2022 21:07:31

Attachments