

Quod

Environmental Statement Volume III

Appendix 10.2: Biodiversity Net Gain Calculation

Axis J9, Phase 3

SEPTEMBER 2021

Q210470

Warwickshire Coventry and Solihull - Biodiversity Impact Assessment Calculator

No action required Enter value

v. 18.3 08/08/2014

Amendment from v18.2 only affects green roofs, for other habitats v18.2 still usable.

Please fill in both tables

Local Planning Authority:

Site name:

Planning application reference number:

Assessor:

Assessor:

Cherwell District Council

Axis J9 Phase 3

Planning application reference number:

Assessor:

Aaron Grainger

Please do not edit the formulae or structure
To condense the form for display hide vacant
rows, do not delete them
If additional rows are required,
or to provide feedback on the calculator

	Enter value		Site name:			Axis J9 Phase 3				rows, do not del			4
	Drop-down menu			nning application reference number:						If additional row			
	Calculation		Assessor: Aaron Grainger						or to provide feedback on the calculator				
	Automatic lookup		Date:				05/05/2559 please contact WCC Ecological Services				NCC Ecological	Services	
	Result								_				-
									Habitat Bi	odiversity Value)		
	Frieting hebitete en eite						Habitats to b	e <u>retained</u> with	Habitats to b	e retained and		a ha laat within	
	Existing habitats on site		Habitat dist	inctiveness	Habitat c	ondition		nge within		ed within		to be <u>lost</u> within	
	Please enter <u>all</u> habitats within the site bound	ary					devel	opment		opment			
		Habitat area	а										O
T. Note code	Phase 1 habitat description	(ha)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score	Area (ha)	Existing value		Existing value	Area (ha)	Existing value	Comment
	Direct Impacts and retained habitats			Α		В	С	$A \times B \times C = D$	Е	$A \times B \times E = F$	G	$A \times B \times G = H$	
Arable F J11	Other: Arable	19.79	Low	2	Poor	1					19.79	39.58	Arable fields in centre of site (winter wheat at the time of the 2016 survey)
Field Ma C31		0.57	Medium-Low	3	Poor	1			0.20	0.60	0.37		Arable field margins associated with the hedgerows and small strip on unsown land in the centre of the site
Road/pa n/a	Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding	1.00	none	0	Poor	1					1.00	0.00	Sections of Middleton Stoney Road and Howes Lane and associated footpath
											1100		, i
		Total 21.	36			Tota	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.60	21.16	40.69	J
												ZD + ZE + ZH	

Indirect Negative Impacts Before/after impact Including off site habitats K x A x B = Li, Lii Li - Lii Before After Before After Before After After Before After	70,71,711
impact K = Li, Lii Li - Lii Before	e habitat biodiversity value 41.
impact K = Li, Lii Li - Lii Before After Before	
impact K = Li, Lii Li - Lii Before	
After Before After Before After Before After Before After Before After Before After	
Before After Before After After Before Befor	
After Before After After	
Before After	
After After	
Before	
After	
Before Be	
After	
Total 0.00 M 0.00	HIS = J + M
Habitat	itat Impact Score (HIS) 40.
Proposed habitats on site Target habitats distinctiveness Target habitat condition Time till target condition	ifficulty of creation /

	Proposed habitats on site (Onsite mitigation)		Target habitats	distinctiveness	Target habit	at condition		Time till targ	et condition		of creation / oration	Habitat	
code	Phase 1 habitat description	Area (ha)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score		Time (years)	Score	Difficulty	Score	biodiversity va	alue Comment
	Habitat Creation											(N x O x P)	
		N		0		Р			Q		R	Q/R	
B22	Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	2.32	Medium	4	Moderate	2		10 years	1.4	Medium	1.5	8.84	Wildflower grassland throughout the site shown as 'proposed meadow grass' on the Reserved Matters Phase 1 Planting Plan (RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0001-PL01). It is assumed that wildflower grassland will form part of the planting plan for Phase 2
	Wetland: Inundation vegetation	0.57	High	6	Good	3		10 years	1.4	Low	1	7.33	Wetland vegetation to be created in the swales
	Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.23	Medium	4	Moderate	2		5 years	1.2	Medium	1.5	1.02	Combined verge and wildflower turf (in the residential component of the scheme) as per approved highways drawing (CDC 14/01968/F)
	Woodland: Broad-leaved plantation	1.82	Medium	4	Good	3		32+ years	3	Medium	1.5	4.85	Dense woodland planting at the southern/western perimiter and woodland understorey planting in the employment/residential sections of the site (as shown on RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0001-PL01 and RF16-375-L-06)
	Other: Introduced shrub	0.18	Low	2	Good	3		5 years	1.2	Low	1	0.90	Amenity planting within the employment service/car park area and residential scheme
n/a	Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding	12.80	none	0	Poor	1		5 years	1.2	Low	1	0.00	Buildings that form the residential and employment plots and associated hard landscaping/roads
	Woodland: Scattered trees	0.32	Medium	4	Good	3		25 years	2.4	Low	1	1.60	Scattered mature trees throughout the site
	Woodland: Dense continuous scrub	0.38	Medium-Low	3	Good	3		10 years	1.4	Low	1	2.44	Dense scrub planting in the southern and western boundaries associated with the woodland planting
	Woodland: Broad-leaved plantation	0.53	Medium	4	Good	3		32+ years	3	Medium	1.5	1.41	Native woodland planting at boundaries of Phase 3 (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
	Wetland: Inundation vegetation	0.22	High	6	Good	3		10 years	1.4	Low	1	2.83	Wet grassland to be created in the swales in Phase 3 (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
B22	Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.99	Medium	4	Moderate	2		5 years	1.2	Medium	1.5	4.40	Short/tall meadow grass.Emorsgate EM1 general purpose meadow mix (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
	Woodland: Dense continuous scrub	0.22	Medium-Low	3	Good	3		10 years	1.4	Low	1	1.41	Native understorey mix (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
	Other: Introduced shrub	0.12	Low	2	Good	3		5 years	1.2	Low	1	0.60	Amenity shrub planting (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
A3	Woodland: Scattered trees	0.46	Medium	4	Good	3		25 years	2.4	Low	1	2.30	Scattered tree planting within Phase 3 (see drawing 0897-RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0003/P01)
		T											
		Total 21.1	6										
	Habitat Enhancement						Existing value					((N x O x P) - S	(S) /
201							S(=F)					Q/R	
a C31	Other: Tall ruderal	0.20	Medium-Low	3	Good	3	0.60	10 years	1.4	Low	1	0.86	Retained arable field margins
		Total 0.2	20							Trading dowr	n correction value	е	0.00
										Habitat Mitigati			10.80
												HBIS = HMS -	HIS
									Н	abitat Biodiversi	ity Impact Score		0.11 Gain
										rcentage of biodiv			

		Warwickshire Coventry and Solihull - Biodi	versity Impact	Assessment Calcu	ulator - Linear I	<u>Features</u>					Please fill in bo	oth tables		
		No action required Enter value Drop-down menu Calculation Automatic lookup Result		Linear Features Hedges and other per length than a s connectivity and m standard metric.	· linear features standard area o	f habitat due to fact	tors such as				Please do not e To condense th rows, do not de If additional row or to provide fee please contact	ne form for displa elete them vs are required, edback on the c	ay hide vacant	
		Existing linear features on site		Linear distin	nctiveness	Linear co	ondition	<u>retained</u> wit	itures to be th no change velopment	Linear Bio Linear feat retained and within dev	diversity Value tures to be d enhanced	Linear featur	res to be <u>lost</u> within velopment	
T. Note	code		Feature length (km)	Distinctiveness	Score	Condition	Score		Existing value	Length (km)	Existing value	Length (km)	Existing value	Comment
		Direct Impacts and retained features Hedges: Hedge with trees Ditches: Dry ditch	2.40	Medium-High	A 5	Moderate	B 2	С	$A \times B \times C = D$	E 1.58	A x B x E = F 15.80	G 0.82	A x B x G = H 8.20	Hedgerows H6, H7, H8 and H11 will be fully removed and H9/H10 will be partially removed. H3, H4, H5 and part of H9/H10 will be retained and enhanced
Ditches	J26	Ditches: Dry ditch	1.32	Low	2	Poor	1	0.70	1.40			0.62	1.24	Loss of ditches D4, D5 and D6 will be fully lost as a result of construction . Ditches D2 and D3 will be retained
		Total	3.72	2			Tota	0.70	1.40	1.58	15.80	1.44	_	J
											Site Linear Bi	iodiversity Value	$\sum D + \sum F + \sum H$ $= 26.64$	
Be	fore/after	Indirect Negative Impacts						KxAxB	om indirect impa	cts				
	impact Before		K					= Li, Lii	Li - Lii					
	After Before													
	After Before													
	After Before													
	After Before After													
	After		0.00					M	0.00				HIS = J + M	
CAUTIO	N - Destru	ction of features of medium or high distinctiveness, e.g.	hedgerows an	d streams, may be	against local po	olicy. Has the mitiga	ation hierarchy b	peen followed, ca	n impact to these	habitats be avo	oided?	ct Score (LIS)	9.44	
Any una	voidable l	oss of valuable habitats must be replaced like-for-like. E. Proposed linear features on site	.ಆ. Loss of hed	Igerows must be rep Target linear dis			-	planted hedges s	should be native s Time till targe		Difficulty o	of creation /		
T. Note			Length (km)	Distinctiveness		_	Score		Time (years)		resto Difficulty	Score	Linear biodiversity value	Comment
		Linear Creation	N		0		Р			Q		R	(N x O x P) / Q / R	
	J211	Hedges: Native species rich intact hedge	0.61	High	6	Moderate	2		10 years	1.4	Low	1	5.21	New hedgerow planting as shown on RF16_375-L-06 and RFM-XX-00-DR-L-0001-PL01 and assumed planting to come forward as part of Phase 2
								1						
								1						
								-						
			0.61											
		Linear Enhancement						Existing value S (= F)					((NxOxP)-S) / Q/R	
	J23	Hedges: Hedge with trees	1.58	Medium-High	5	Good	3	15.80	10 years	1.4	Low	1	5.64	Retained hedgerows to be enhanced

Trading down correction value
Linear Mitigation Score (LMS)

Linear Biodiversity Impact Score
Percentage of linear impact loss

LBIS = LMS - LIS

No action required
Action required
Drop-down menu
Calculation
Automatic lookup

Overall Result

Loss to biodiversity
Gain to biodiversity

Biodiversity Impact Assessment Summary

Site name:	Axis J9 Phase 3
Planning reference number:	

		Habitat
Habitats	Area (ha)	Biodiversity
		Value
Total existing area onsite	21.36	41.29
Habitats negatively impacted by development Habitat		
Impact Score	21.16	40.69
On site habitat mitigation Habitat		
Mitigation Score	21.36	40.80
Habitat Biodiversity Impact Score		
If -ve further compensation required		0.11
Percentage of biodiversity impact		
3 , 1		
3 7 1		Linear
Linear features	Length (km)	
	Length (km)	Biodiversity
	Length (km)	
Linear features	• , ,	Biodiversity Value
Linear features Total existing length onsite	• , ,	Biodiversity Value
Linear features Total existing length onsite Linear features negatively impacted by development	3.72	Biodiversity Value 26.64
Linear features Total existing length onsite Linear features negatively impacted by development Linear Impact Score	3.72	Biodiversity Value 26.64
Linear features Total existing length onsite Linear features negatively impacted by development Linear Impact Score On site linear mitigation Linear	3.72	Biodiversity Value 26.64 9.44
Linear features Total existing length onsite Linear features negatively impacted by development Linear Impact Score On site linear mitigation Mitigation Score	3.72	Biodiversity Value 26.64 9.44

CAUTION - Destruction of habitats of high distinctiveness, e.g. lowland meadow, ancient woodland or species-rich hedgerows, may be against local policy. Has the mitigation hierarchy been followed, can impact to these habitats be avoided? Any unavoidable loss of habitats of high distinctiveness must be replaced like-for-

For any questions with regard to biodiversity impact and this development please contact Warwickshire County Council Ecological Services:

email: planningecology@warwickshire.gov.uk

tel: 01926 418060

If there is an anticipated loss to biodiversity and no further ecological enhancements can be incorporated within the development it may be possible to compensate for this loss through a biodiversity offsetting scheme.

Please contact The Environment Bank for discussions on potential receptor sites in your area:

email: Imartland@environmentbank.com

tel: 01926 412772





Phase 1 Habitat Descriptions	Phase 1 Habitat Codes	Distinctiveness	Difficulty o	of creation	Difficulty of	restoration
Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding	n/a	none 0	Low		Low	
Built Environment: Gardens (lawn and planting)	n/a	Low 1	Low	1	Low	1
Woodland: Broad-leaved semi-natural woodland	A111	High 6	n/a	-	Low	1
Woodland: Broad-leaved plantation	A112	Medium 4	Medium	1.5	Low	
Woodland: Coniferous semi-natural woodland	A121 A122	Medium 4	n/a Madium	- 1 E	Low	
Woodland: Coniferous plantation Woodland: Mixed semi-natural woodland	A122 A131	Low 2 Medium 4	Medium n/a	1.5	Low Low	
Woodland: Mixed semi-natural woodland Woodland: Mixed plantation	A132	Low 2	Medium	15	Low	
Woodland: Wet woodland	n/a	High 6	Medium		Medium	
Woodland: Dense continuous scrub	A21	Medium-Low 3	Low		Low	
Woodland: Scattered scrub	A22	Medium 4	Low		Low	1
Woodland: Scattered trees	A3	Medium 4	Low	1	Low	1
Woodland: Broad-leaved parkland	A31	High 6	Medium	1.5	Low	1
Woodland: Coniferous parkland	A32	Medium 4	Medium	1.5	Low	1
Woodland: Recently felled woodland	A4	Low 2	n/a	-	n/a	-
Woodland: Orchard	A5	High 6	Low		Low	1
Grassland: Unimproved acidic grassland	B11	High 6	Medium		Low	1
Grassland: Semi-improved acidic grassland	B12	Medium-High 5	Medium		Low	
Grassland: Unimproved neutral grassland	B21 B22	High 6 Medium 4	Medium		Low	
Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland Grassland: Unimproved calcareous grassland	B31	Medium 4 High 6	Medium Medium		Low Low	
Grassland: Onimproved calcareous grassland Grassland: Semi-improved calcareous grassland	B32	Medium-High 5	Medium		Low	
Grassland: Poor semi-improved grassland Grassland: Poor semi-improved grassland	B6	Medium-Low 3	Medium		Low	
Grassland: Improved grassland	B4	Low 2	n/a	-	Low	
Grassland: Marsh / Marshy grassland	B5	High 6	High	3	Medium	
Grassland: Dry heath / Acidic grassland mosaic	D5	High 6	Medium		Medium	
Grassland: Set-aside / Arable field margins	J113	High 6	Low	1	Low	
Grassland: Amenity grassland	J12	Low 2	Low	1	Low	1
Wetland: Standing water	G1	High 6	Medium	1.5	Medium	1.5
Wetland: Running water	G2	High 6	Medium		Medium	
Wetland: Reedbed	n/a	High 6	low		low	
Wetland: Sphagnum Bog	E11	High 6	Very High		High	
Wetland: Acid/neutral flush	E21	High 6	High		Medium	
Wetland: Basin Mire Wetland: Swamp	E32 F1	High 6 High 6	High High		Medium Medium	
Wetland: Inundation vegetation	F22	High 6	Low		Low	
Other: Arable	J11	Low 2	n/a	-	n/a	
Other: Continuous bracken	C11	Low 2	Low	1	Low	
Other: Tall ruderal	C31	Medium-Low 3	Low	1	Low	1
Other: Non-ruderal	C32	Medium 4	Low	1	Low	1
Other: Ephemeral/short perennial	J13	Low 2	Low	1	Low	1
Other: Allotments	J112	Low 2	Low	1	Low	1
Other: Quarry	121	Low 2	Low		Low	
Other: Spoil	122	Low 2	Low		Low	
Other: Refuse tip Other: Introduced shrub	J14	Low 2 Low 2	Low Low		Low Low	
Other: Bare ground	J4	Low 2	Low	1	Low	
Other: Green roof	n/a	Low 2	Low	1	Low	
Linear features			2511		257/	
Hedges: Intact hedge	J21	Medium 4	Low	1	Low	1
Hedges: Native species rich intact hedge	J211	High 6	Low		Low	
Hedges: Hedge with trees	J23	Medium-High 5	Low	1	Low	1
Hedges: Native species rich hedge with trees	J231	High 6	Low	1	Low	1
Hedges: Defunct hedge	J22	Low 2	n/a	-	n/a	-
Hedges: Linear scrub	A21	Medium 4	Low	1	Low	
Hedges: Linear trees	A3	Medium 4	Low		Low	
Hedges: Introduced shrub	J14	Low 2	Low		Low	
Ditches: Standing water	G1 G2	High 6 High 6	Medium Medium		Low Low	
Ditches: Running water Ditches: Dry ditch	J26	Low 2	Low		Low	
Boundaries: Fence	J24	None 0	Low		Low	
Boundaries: Wall	J25	Low 2	Low		Low	
Boundaries: Ory stone wall	J25	Medium 4	Low		Low	
Other: Inland cliff	11	Medium 4	Low		Low	
Other: Earth bank	J28	Low 2	Low		Low	
Other: Green wall	n/a	Low 2	Low	1	Low	1

Distinctiveness	
High	6
Medium-High	5
Medium	4
Medium-Low	3
Low	2
none	0

Condition	
Good	3
Moderate	2
Poor	1

Time	
5 years	1.2
10 years	1.4
15 years	1.7
20 years	2
25 years	2.4
30 years	2.8
32+ years	3

Difficulty	·
Very high	10
High	3
Medium	1.5
Low	1
n/a	0

Habitat trading down correction calculator

Existing Site						
Existing habitat	Area of habitat impact	Distinctiveness	High distinctiveness habitat loss biodiversity value	Medium-High distinctiveness habitat loss biodiversity value		
Direct impacts						
Other: Arable	19.79	Low	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other: Tall ruderal	0.37	Medium-Low	0.00			1.11
Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding	1.00	none	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00			0.00
-			0.00	0.00		0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00		0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00			0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Indirect impacts						
-	-		0.00			0.00
-	-		0.00			0.00
-	-		0.00			0.00
-	-		0.00			0.00
-	-		0.00			0.00
TOTAL	21.16		0.00	0.00	0.00	1.11

Proposed Site

Proposed habitat creation	Area of habitat creation	Distinctiveness	High distinctiveness proposed biodiversity value	Medium-High distinctiveness proposed biodiversity	Medium distinctiveness proposed biodiversity value	''
Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	2.32	Medium	0.00	value 0.00		value 0.00
Wetland: Inundation vegetation	0.57	High			0.00	0.00
Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.23			0.00	1.02	0.00
Woodland: Broad-leaved plantation	1.82				4.85	0.00
Other: Introduced shrub	0.18	Low	0.00		0.00	0.00
Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding	12.80		0.00		0.00	0.00
Woodland: Scattered trees	0.32			0.00	1.60	0.00
Woodland: Dense continuous scrub	0.38				0.00	2.44
Woodland: Broad-leaved plantation	0.53			0.00	1.41	0.00
Wetland: Inundation vegetation	0.22	High		0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland: Semi-improved neutral grassland	0.99	Medium	0.00	0.00	4.40	0.00
Woodland: Dense continuous scrub	0.22	Medium-Low	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41
Other: Introduced shrub	0.12	Low	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woodland: Scattered trees	0.46	Medium	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00
•	-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Proposed habitat enhancement	Area	Distinctiveness	High	Medium-High	Medium	Medium-Low
Other: Tall ruderal	0.20	Medium-Low	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86
•	-		0.00		0.00	0.00
-	-		0.00		0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
<u>-</u>	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
-	-				0.00	0.00
<u>-</u>	-				0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00
- TOTAL	21.36		10.16	0.00	24.43	4.71

Trading Down Correction	High	Medium-High	Medium	Medium-Low
Value of existing habitat loss per distinctiveness	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.11
Value of created habitats per distinctiveness	10.16	0.00	24.43	4.71
Would this result in trading down habitats?	Never	No	No	No
If no, value each distinctiveness still requiring compensation	0	0	0	0
Surplus gain to be carried over to compensate loss of lower habitats (rolls over)	10.16	10.16	34.58	38.19
Trading down correction value	n/a	0	0	0

This calculator assess whether there is any down trading in habitats value. E.g. loss of high distinctiveness habitat cannot be compensated for by surpluss medium mitigation. I correction value which enters into the primary calculator to take this into account. Such that the full level of high habitat loss compensation is required. However if additional megenerated above the value of the high loss, this surplus is still be taken into account with on site gain.

CAUTION - Destruction of habitats of high distinctiveness, e.g. lowland meadow or ancient woodland, may be against local policy. Has the mitigation hierarchy been followed, can impact to these habitats be avoided?

Any unavoidable loss of habitats of high distinctiveness must be replaced like-for like.

Linear trading down correction calculator

Existing	Site
----------	------

Existing linear features	length of loss (km)	Distinctiveness	High distinctiveness linear loss biodiversity value		Medium distinctiveness linear loss biodiversity value	Medium-Low distinctiveness linear loss biodiversity value	Low distinctiveness linear loss biodiversity value
Direct impacts							
Hedges: Hedge with trees	0.82	Medium-High	0.00	8.2	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ditches: Dry ditch	0.62	Low	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.24
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
•			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
•			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
•			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
•			0.00				0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-							0.00
-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
						0.00	0.00
-							0.00
Indirect impacts							
-	-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	-						0.00
-	-						0.00
	-						0.00
-	_						0.00
TOTA	L 1.44		0.00	8.20	0.00	0.00	1.24

Proposed Site

Proposed linear creation	Length of feature (km)	Distinctiveness	High distinctiveness proposed linear biodiversity value	Medium-High distinctiveness proposed linear biodiversity value	Medium distinctiveness proposed linear biodiversity value	Medium-Low distinctiveness proposed linear biodiversity value	Low distinctiveness proposed linear biodiversity value
Hedges: Native species rich intact hedge	0.61	High	5.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Proposed linear enhancement	Length	Distinctiveness	High	Medium-High	Medium	Medium-Low	Low
Hedges: Hedge with trees	1.58	Medium-High	0.00	5.64	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTA	L 2.19		5.21	5.64	0.00	0.00	0.00

Linear trading down correction	High	Medium-High	Medium	Medium-Low	Low	
Value of existing habitat loss per distinctiveness	0.00	8.20	0.00	0.00	1.24	
Value of created habitats per distinctiveness	5.21	5.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Would this result in trading down habitats?	Never	No	No	No	No	
If no, value each distinctiveness still requiring compensation	0	0	0	0	1.24	
Surplus gain to be carried over to compensate loss of lower habitats (rolls over)	5.21	2.65	2.65	2.65	n/a	Total
Trading down correction value	n/a	0	0	0	0	0.00

This calculator assess whether there is any down trading in linear habitats. E.g. loss of high distinctiveness habitat and surplus creation of medium or low habitats. It calculates a correction value which enters into the primary calculator to take this into account. Such that the full level of high habitat loss compensation is required. However if additional medium gain is generated above the value of the high loss, this surplus is still be taken into account with on site gain.