## Comment for planning application 21/02467/F

Application Number	21/02467/F	
Location	OS Parcel 0005 And Part OS Parcel 1300 0878 And 7566 Banbury	
Proposal	Erection of mixed-use development including a 240-bed hotel, 4-storey office building and roadside services including 2 no hot food restaurant drive-throughs, a coffee shop drive-through and a petrol filling station with ancillary retail store	
Case Officer	Bernadette Owens	
Organisation Name	Pam Jones	
Address	Sundial House, Thorpe Road, Wardington, Banbury, OX17 1SP	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	construction) that now do application proposal state context of the large comm them when viewed from landscape when viewed f because of the current we and of the scale proposed huge mistake on the part warehouse on the easter application. The environn the traffic to the new war the link roads to/from it. More rubbish along the ro The Proposers claim that application because there that because there will no motorway traffic. Surely approved (along with driv Engine/google map for m unemployment rates in E development provides are really wants and needs? order to get to the develop opportunity to have a litt and the villages around E developed, at least let it The crucial question for p sustainability criteria as w in this development but i negative impact on the e it would cause.	tice the blot on the landscape of the massive warehouse (in ominates the eastern side of the M40. Incredibly, this latest as that "the proposed development will be seen entirely in the mercial warehouse buildings and its visibility will be obscured by the north. " But what of the impact of this new development on the rom the south, east and west? The proposal also seems to say that arehouse development "Any further development in this location d will have a lesser relative impact." Should we be grateful? It was a to f the Council to approve development for the enormous n side of the M40 and it will be a further mistake if they pass this nental impact from additional traffic to the development (on top of rehouse) will invariably clog up the motorway roundabout and all And do we REALLY need more drive through, fast food outlets? bads, junk food and Banbury's own contribution to global warming? that this application differs from the previously (rejected) e will not be motorway signage to the service station. They suggest to be motorway signs the new development would not to attract this argument cannot stand up to scrutiny. If a service station were ve through food outlets) it would soon appear on any Search lotorists using the M40? Banbury has some of the lowest ngland. Unfortunately many of the jobs that this sort of e likely be some of the most poorly paid - is that what the town And, if they cannot be found locally, staff would have to travel in opment - so potentially more traffic? The Council have an lee more vision and foresight for the Town and people who live in it anbury when considering this Application If this area must be be in such a way that improves the town and what it has to offer. lanning in our times is how any development will meet we face the challenges of global warming. I can see nothing positive nstead, more depressing acres of concrete with the inevitable nvironment and accompanying increase in pollution and traffic that
Received Date	14/08/2021 12:32:43	
Attachments		