

# Comment for planning application 21/02467/F

<b>Application Number</b>	21/02467/F
<b>Location</b>	OS Parcel 0005 And Part OS Parcel 1300 0878 And 7566 Banbury
<b>Proposal</b>	Erection of mixed-use development including a 240-bed hotel, 4-storey office building and roadside services including 2 no hot food restaurant drive-throughs, a coffee shop drive-through and a petrol filling station with ancillary retail store
<b>Case Officer</b>	Bernadette Owens
<b>Organisation Name</b>	Andrew Steven
<b>Address</b>	Tite Cottage,Thorpe Road,Wardington,Banbury,OX17 1SP
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>The original proposal for this monstrous development was opposed vigorously by all except the Council who cynically allowed the current warehouse development to go ahead, one assumes purely for the additional business rates it will raise. This alone is an utterly miserable development, ruining productive pastureland and tearing up ancient ridge and furrow. This further proposal to develop the site with junk food outlets, a fossil fuel outlet (which will be redundant in a few years if the UK is to meet its CO2 emissions targets), a vast and unneeded hotel and more offices when there are countless offices lying empty across Banbury, is utterly shameful. The approach is around a very dangerous corner, the additional traffic that would be created is far, far too great for this road and for the roundabout. It seems that the developers are purely concerned with wringing the maximum profit out of a totally unsuitable and unnecessary site and to hell with the local community and all those who will be gravely adversely affected by the proposal. The environmental costs of this proposal are huge. Junk food packaging is an environmental menace of the highest order already, and the prospect of introducing two additional junk food outlets and a coffee shop on this site will lead to paper and plastic strewn up and down the sides of the A361 and further afield. I would remind the council that the responsibility of clearing this rubbish up lies with them and they should carefully consider whether they have the resources and budget. The pollution from tens of thousands of additional vehicle movements will make this whole area a health blackspot. And with the personal health costs of junk food and the associated burden on the NHS, the council should be seeking to eliminate this scourge not encourage more of it. If the council are truly representing their constituents they will reject this proposal out of hand. If they fail to reject it, they will prove that their sole motivation is to raise as much revenue as possible through business rates with not a care for the wellbeing of their constituents. The planning committee should reject this disgraceful, cynical development.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	13/08/2021 16:35:10
<b>Attachments</b>	