## Comment for planning application 21/02462/OBL

**Application Number** 21/02462/OBL

**Land North Of Hempton Road And West Of Wimborn Close Deddington** 

Proposal Discharge of schedule 2 paragraph 2.1.1 (affordable housing scheme)) – of S106 relating to

18/02147/OUT

Case Officer James Kirkham

Organisation

Name Maureen cox

**Address** 47 Mill Close, Deddington, Banbury, OX15 0UN

**Type of Comment** 

Objection neighbour

Type Comments

I increasingly have concerns about this double development. A development I initially supported. The land has been used in the past for the burial of the rubble from the Old Windmill school which may have included asbestos - has the new site been explored for this? Certainly the barn has asbestos in its structure which the developers are going to take down under protection shortly. The same structures may well be buried in the deeper layers of the pit - It is named stone pits for a reason. A relative of mine, contracted to pull down the remaining school structures, says t is the rubble is deeply on this site. Has the objection to the access pathway from this site to Wimborne close been taken into consideration? The line of trees and bushes is a hedgehog run and sanctuary has this been taken into consideration? The residents of Wimborne Close have objected to there small open grass area at the end of their street - designed to cater for the 23 houses on this site, is now potentially going to be used by a far larger development next door. Has there been a open space included in the new development? DPC has asked this question several times for this confirmation. There appears to be insufficient open green areas for the residents and properties of this size attract younger families - where are they safely going to play? It appears that the speed / traffic calming measure has been conveniently been deducted from the plan - has it been restored? Thames Water are always on the other side of the road mending the pumping system and when I spoke to them the engineer in charge was fearful that the present system will not be efficient enough to keep up the pressure for that site and knock on sites can you reassure me that this has been investigated and resolved? I need confirmation of all the above before I withdrew my objection.

**Received Date** 

22/07/2021 22:59:43

**Attachments**