



Proposed/Existing Levels	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.607
69.450-69.350	3.591
69.350-69.250	2.473
69.250-69.150	0.301




Proposed Levels	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.607
69.450-69.350	3.607
69.350-69.250	1.913
69.250-69.150	0.000

EXISTING LEVELS	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.450
69.550-69.450	3.189
69.450-69.350	2.927
69.350-69.250	1.484
69.250-69.150	0.000




Proposed Levels	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.607
69.450-69.350	3.563
69.350-69.250	1.239
69.250-69.150	0.024

EXISTING LEVELS	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.495
69.450-69.350	3.073
69.350-69.250	0.836
69.250-69.150	0.024



Proposed Levels	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.607
69.450-69.350	2.930
69.350-69.250	0.945
69.250-69.150	0.559

EXISTING LEVELS	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.186
69.550-69.450	2.928
69.450-69.350	2.073
69.350-69.250	0.569
69.250-69.150	0.512



Proposed Levels	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.607
69.450-69.350	3.037
69.350-69.250	1.595
69.250-69.150	1.166

EXISTING LEVELS	Areas m²
69.650-69.550	3.607
69.550-69.450	3.547
69.450-69.350	2.749
69.350-69.250	1.595
69.250-69.150	1.166

CDM REGULATION: NO DRAWING OR OTHER DESIGN INFORMATION SHOULD BE READ WITHOUT REFERENCE TO THE HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN.

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS AND SPECIALIST SUB-CONTRACTORS DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND EMPLOYER'S SPECIFICATION. ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN THESE DRAWINGS ARE TO BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY.

SITE IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED IN HARD FORMAT AND/OR ELECTRONIC FORMAT.

Volume Difference between Proposed and Existing by level Sections 1-17

Levels			
Top (m)	Bottom (m)	Height (m)	Volume Difference (m³)
69.650	69.550	0.1	+21.430
65.550	69.450	0.1	-9.593
69.450	69.350	0.1	-39.950
69.350	69.250	0.1	-26.393
69.250	69.150	0.1	-2.057

Positive figures indicate a reduction in flood volume. Negative indicate an increase in flood volume.

Volume Difference between Proposed and Existing by level Sections 1a-5a

Levels			
Top (m)	Bottom (m)	Height (m)	Volume Difference (m³)
69.650	69.550	0.1	-3.858
65.550	69.450	0.1	-7.787
69.450	69.350	0.1	-12.360
69.350	69.250	0.1	-0.710
69.250	69.150	0.1	-0.313

Positive figures indicate a reduction in flood volume. Negative indicate an increase in flood volume.

Total loss/increase of flood plain volume.

Levels			
Top (m)	Bottom (m)	Height (m)	Total loss of volume(m³)
69.650	69.550	0.1	17.572
65.550	69.450	0.1	-17.380
69.450	69.350	0.1	-52.310
69.350	69.250	0.1	-27.103
69.250	69.150	0.1	-2.370

Positive figures indicate a reduction in flood volume. Negative indicate an increase in flood volume.

Rev	Date	Description	Dm	Chkd
P6	23/12/21	FLOOD LOSS/INCREASE TABLE AMENDED	GHB	TS
P5	21/12/21	FLOOD LOSS/INCREASE TABLE ADDED	GHB	TS
P4	20/12/21	FLOOD LEVELS AMENDED	GHB	TS
P3	12/10/21	HATCHING AND NOTES ADDED	GHB	TS
P2	12/10/21	COLOUR OF SECTION AMENDED	GHB	TS
P1	07/10/21	PRELIMINARY ISSUE	GHB	TS

Revisions

Drawing Originator

**PAUL OWEN ASSOCIATES** CONSULTING ENGINEERS - TECHNICAL ADVISERS  
Studio D128, 62 Triton Road, West Dulwich,  
London SE21 8DE  
t 020 3176 7726 www.paulowen.co.uk

Drawing Status

PRELIMINARY

Project Name

BICESTER

Drawn by	GHB	Drawn Date	07/10/21	Checked by	TS	Scale	H 1/250 V 1/50
----------	-----	------------	----------	------------	----	-------	-------------------

Title FLOOD VOLUMES SECTIONS 3 OF 3 Original drawing sheet is A1