

Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/01630/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for up to 530 residential dwellings (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout, and scale reserved for later determination"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="William Kellett"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="4 Wintergreen Fields,Bicester,OX27 8BG"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input (on="" -="" 40%="" 8-9am,="" a="" agreed="" allowed="" already="" an="" analysed="" analysis="" and="" any="" anyway,="" applications'="" are="" as="" assessment="" assessments="" at="" avenue="" b4100,="" bad,="" be="" beyond="" both="" bottlenecks"="" by="" carbon="" case,="" charlotte="" circumvent="" clearly="" connect="" current="" dangerous.="" design.="" developer="" don't="" eco="" economic="" elmsbrook,="" exponentially="" financial="" flawed,="" from="" full="" fundamental="" future="" get="" grounds="" hawkwell="" homes="" i.e.="" if="" in="" interact!="" is="" isn't="" issue!="" it="" it's="" jams="" joined="" just="" made="" make="" masterplan="" meaningless:="" mis-applying="" more="" much="" must="" network="" new="" no="" not="" number="" of="" only="" onto="" or="" original="" otherwise="" otherwise)."="" per="" principles,="" problems="" profit,="" properly,="" requirement,="" road="" school="" seems="" served="" should="" situation="" stemming="" than="" the="" theory="" things="" this="" thus="" to="" town="" town!="" traffic="" tripling="" type="text" understand="" up="" value="My previous objections have not been addressed by Firethorn they have tried to justify that the existing development raid Charlotte Avenue can handle the traffic generetade by their propoed development but they cannot. In fact, they have shown the wrong section of Charlotte Avenue in their analysis - implying they still haven't actually looked at the correct sections of Charlotte or Braeburn which contain the " viability="" viable.="" village="" well="" which="" will="" with="" without="" worse="" worse,="" years="" zero=""/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="19/05/2022 10:35:55"/>
Attachments	