Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

Application Number	21/01630/OUT	
Location	Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield	
Proposal	Outline planning application for residential development (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved for later determination	
Case Officer	Caroline Ford	
Organisation Name	Buckley	
Address	4 Haricot Vale Road, Bicester, OX27 8EP	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	analysis to justify buildin Elmsbrook and the master homes should be always particular the environme capable to meet the non- lower costs and quality. It concerned about building this area. The situation with that would mean more ca- suggest to have higher bi- buildings are not higher meters? We're not in Lor together! There are no se than 500 homes can pos- entrance from Braeburn the sideway so having m Thinking of capacity with development. It will also principles of having fewer assessment of the current have already created sev confident than more than infrastructure is a serious infrastructure is a serious infrastructure as we work existing grid just to be vi application would destrow developer is just not cap considered and can many choose from and the cour none of the proposed on addition, there are still v all. That application would truly future proof place to promoting a greener tow would be very worrying for	rned about this application as it seems to lack proper data and g new homes with much lower specifications compared to erplan for NW Bicester. A financial viable assessment to fit new done for sure but it should also respect original requirements and in ntal ones in this particular and unique area. If the assessment is not negotiable requirements then either revise it or do not build at it should not be the other way around. The developer are only and selling without concerns for the original strategy and plans for with materials prices rising and the pandemic might continue and oncessions and reduce further the quality of the builds. Plans uilding and increase the density to fit more residents. The current than 9 meters and the developer expect to build higher than 12 don where it's okay if nothing is consistent and doesn't match erious impacts assessments made to understand how adding more sibly be absorbed by the current infrastructure and amenities. The avenue can already be challenging when some cars are parked on ore traffic for people to cross half of the estate will not help. out looking at the bigger picture is a serious risk to any increase the noise pollution, the air pollution and go against the r cars crossing the ecapacity for new residents in Elmsbrook veral disruptions which were tolerable and expected. However, being n doubling the number of homes can be handled by the current s delusion. More of us are becoming dependant on that k from home and if the developer just want to connect to the lable, then again they will break the masterplan requirements. This y everything this civil, this community and this area stand for. If a able to meet the requirements of this area, others should be age. To meet a need, there should always be multiple options to ncil should always consider the "do nothing" option if at the present ees meets the need (in this case the NW Bicester masterplan). In ery few ecotowns like Elmsbrook in the world and in the UK most of ld destroy all the effort to develop Bicester NW
Received Date	23/12/2021 13:06:21	
Attachments		