

## Bioregional's response to application 2101630/OUT

Bioregional have assessed a range of documents submitted with the application 2101630/OUT against the Eco Towns PPS and Policy BIC1 from the adopted Cherwell Local Plan. A full table is provided below.

Name of application:	2101630/OUT Firethorn			
Policy Bicester 1	Evidence/response	Reference	Compliance and next step	Stantec UK Response
Housing				
Zero Carbon housing	Various places in application documents:	Design and Access	There is significant mention of True Zero	
(The definition of zero	- True Zero Carbon Development that	Statement – notably	Carbon within the planning	
carbon in eco-towns	is adapted for climate change	pages 110	documentation (notably in the DaS and	
is that over a year the	- Land North West of Bicester strives to		Energy Statement) – however the Energy	
net carbon dioxide	be a valuable addition to the local	Energy Statement	Statement does not go into the detail of	
emissionsfrom all	community. The benefits for bringing	(Stantec)	the of how this would be achieved - this	
energy use within the	the development forward are(sic)		is due to the outline nature of the	
buildings on the eco-	True Zero Carbon	Technology Appraisal	application.	
town development as	<ul> <li>Land North West of Bicester has a</li> </ul>	for Zero Carbon Homes		
a whole are zero or	three-tiered approach to achieving	(Stantec)	However, we would expect to see an	Baseline carbon emissions
below.)	'true' zero carbon on the Site		indicative carbon balance to be presented	and carbon emissions related
	- Development P r i n c i p l e 2 "True"		that provides reassurance on the True ZC	to the potential dwelling
	zero carbon development		target to be met, this should include:	emission rates (as defined in
	<ul> <li>Achieving true zero carbon - An</li> </ul>		- Baseline carbon emissions for the	the Energy Hierarchy,
	energy strategy will be submitted		development (based on indicative	Chapter 5) are provided in
	with the proposals for the		scheme)	Table 6.1.
	development that aims to account for		- Proposed breakdown of compliance	
	likely long-term influences arising		as per the energy hierarchy	
	from the UK commitments on climate		-	
	change mitigation, the EU agenda on		We would expect to see a commitment to	Section 6.2 sets out the fabric
	'nearly zero energy buildings", the		build to certain fabric efficiency e.g. in	efficiency standards that have
				been incorporated within the
				emission modelling.

Bioreg	ional			
	<ul> <li>proposed changes to Part L of the Building Regulations and the projected reductions in grid emission factors.</li> <li>An approach to 'true' zero carbon which is reflected in individual proposed plots and new homes through energy use and other technologies (on plot measures including low water and energy use within the home as well as centralised heat networks incorporating air sourced heat pump technology that provide a low</li> <li>carbon supply).</li> </ul>		line with the FHS consultation or beyond e.g. Energy Use intensity targets as per LETI guidelines – currently this is not clear We would also expect to see further clarity around the topic of carbon offsetting. Whilst the provided energy statement does not mention offsetting, the DAS (page 110) mention the possibility of offsetting or off-site renewable energy projects would be required. It should also be noted that due to the primary fuel of the on-site DHN being gas and with changes to Part L of building regulations in 2021 it means that any source of heat from natural gas is likely to fail Part L (there is discussion with SSE that a phased rankacement of gas may	The approach to carbon offsetting will need to be defined by CDC. The process and assurance for offsetting will need to be agreed as part of the Section 106 agreement.
			occur – however until the heat network is decarbonised or guarantees in place then a connection to the network is not possible). It is worth a discussion as this does have implication for the current exemplar scheme and future applications	
Affordable Housing – 30%	Up to 30% affordable homes stated in DAS and planning statement - also further correspondence in the pre-app documentation	DAS – page 15 Planning statement Affordable housing statement	Would default to housing team at CDC to advise on proposed split between rental/intermediate tenues.	
Layout to achieve Building for Life 12 and Lifetime Homes standards	"The fa c i l it i e s audit on the following page demonstrates that Northwest Bicester is sustainably located and	DAS	Documentation states that layout can achieve the Building for a Healthy Life criteria – but no further details are	[no comment from Stantec]



	fully meets the Building for a Healthy L i f e c r i t e r i a through good access to existing off-s i t e local fa c i l it i e s"		provided. Look to condition this assessment at RMS	
Homes to be constructed to be capable of achieving a minimum of Level 5 of the Code for Sustainable Homes on completion of each phase of development, including being equipped to meet the water consumption requirement of Code Level 5	Zero carbon homes and Code Level 5 can be achieved through a combination of the Future Homes Standard and solar power generation Nothing mentioned on water efficiency in line with Code 5 – but separate water technical note on achieving 105l/p/day	DaS Water efficiency technical note	No mention of Code 5 within the Energy Statement – we would expect that this iswhere mention should be made. However, as the code for sustainable homes is no longer used and the energy statement has indicated meeting the True Zero Carbon standard, through a combination of FHS efficiency standards of FHS standard and renewables which in essence would meet the code 5 standard. Further clarity could be requested fromthe applicant on this if required. RE: Water - there is no mention of water efficiency in-line with Code 5 which is stated as 80l/p/day – whilst we don't feel that this level of efficiency is required dueto design interventions, we would like to see certainty and commitment to 1051/p/d and also stetch targets towards the RIBA climate challenge and/or Code 5. This could be through an indicative water calculator – in addition the costing used for justifying going further than 1051 is based on evidence from 2014 – considerable improvements have	As noted Code for Sustainable Homes no longer exists and therefore cannot be certified or used in planning policy compliance.
			happened in the industry around water	



			efficiency so this should be explored further.	
The provision of extra care housing	No mention	N/A	Not sure if applicable for the site	
Have real time energy monitoring systems, real time public transport information and Superfast Broadband access, including next generation broadband where possible. Consideration should also be given to digital access to support assisted living and smart energy management systems	No mention of monitoring or broadband	n/a	Not mention in the outline application document reviewed regarding monitoring. Would look to condition this as per previous Exemplar applications. We would also expect some mention or commitment on super-fast broadband	Section 8 provides an entire background to delivering a comprehensive smart approach to energy, including energy monitoring. Super-fast broadband is standard in all new development.
New non-residential buildings will be BREEAM Very Good with the capability of achieving BREEAM Excellent.	No mention	N/A	Not applicable as no mention of non- residential buildings	
Infrastructure needs				



Green infrastructure-	At least 40% of the total site area will be	Numerous document –	Mix of GI is provided and described	
40% of the total gross	delivered as GI. Opportunities for tree	inc. DaS, ES and	within application material, however	
site area will comprise	planting are included throughout the Site	Planning statement	some key points to explore and discuss:	
green space of which	including street trees, tree groups, copses			
at least half will be	and woodland edge habitat		Can't seem to find a landuse schedule	
publiclyaccessible and			that provides calculations of this 40%	
consist of a network			breakdown. This would be useful to see	
of well-managed, high				
quality green/open			Cant see any mention of green roofs that	
spaces which are			are specified within the NWB SPD.	
linked to the open				
countryside. This			Would want to see securing of buffer	
should include sports			zones from key GI features such as the	
pitches, parks and			hedgerows and woodland areas. Would	
recreation areas, play			be good to see indicative cross sections of	
spaces, allotments,			how GI can be multifunctional e.g. used	
the required burial				
ground (possibly a				
woodland cemetery)				
and SUDS				



			with play space and segregated cycle/walking route	
There should be a maximum walking distance of 800metres from homes to the nearest primary school	All of the proposed dwellings within the eastern parcel and the majority of the area of the western parcel are located within a 530m radius of the Gagle Brook Primary School. The remaining area of the western parcel is within an 800m radius around the school. Therefore, it is considered that the local primary school will be easily accessible on foot from within the proposed development.	DaS	Compliant from outline application – however, this looks to be based on 'straight line distance' it would be good to see at RMS the furthest homes if they are still with 800m/10 min walk once paths/roads are designed	
Community facilities– to include facilities for leisure, health,social care, education, retail, arts, culture, library services, indoor and outdoor sport, Play and voluntary services. The local centre hubs shall provide for a mix of uses that will include retail, employment, community and residential provision. Education, healthcare, community and indoor sports facilities will be encouraged to locate	Nothing provided within development documents	N/A	Reliance seems to be on the wider Elmsbrook facilities (with most not yet built). Would like to see that the planned and existing facilities are sized for an additional 550 homes (c.1200 residents). An analysis of this demand based on indicative facilities within the local centre would be beneficial and advised. S106 contribution would therefore need to be secured for secondary schooling and other community infrastructure.	
encouraged to locate in local centres and opportunities for co-				



location will be				
welcomed. Provision				
will be proportionate				
to the size of the				
community they serve.				
Each neighbourhood				
of approximately 1,000				
houses to include				
provision for				
community meeting				
space suitable for a				
range of community				
activities including				
provision for older				
people and young				
people. A site of 0.5 ha				
for a place of worship				
to				
be reserved for future				
use.				
The submission of	Nothing mentioned	N/A	Nothing mentioned	
proposals to support				
the setting up and				
operation of a				
financially viable Local				
Management				



		-		
Organisation by the				
new community to				
allow locally based				
long term ownership				
and management of				
facilities in				
perpetuity				
Utilities – Utilities and		N/A	Nothing mentioned on aspiration for	We understand that a
infrastructure which	Nothing mentioned		water neutrality	feasibility study to heat
allow for zero carbon				network connection to
and water neutrality			No real mention of Ardley EfW – although	Ardley EfW has been
on the site and the			this would be based on a main heat link	undertaken by CDC. The
consideration of			to the Elmsbrook energy centre. This is	connection to the EfW is
sourcing waste heat			out of their control, but a feasibility study	up to SSE, not the
from the Ardley Energy			into whether a heat main could be	development or future
recovery facility. The			developed if the 550 homes with the OPA	developer.
approach shall be set			did connect would be beneficial.	
out in anEnergy				SSE have undertaken
Strategy and a Water			That would mean c.1000 homes would be	their own feasibility
Cycle Study. The Water			connected to a local heat network.	study on the
Cycle Study shall cover				decarbonisation of the
water efficiency and				existing heat network.
demand management,				Our understanding is
water quality and how				their intension it to
it will be protected and				develop a 'heat pump'
improved, WFD				solution.
compliance, surface				
water management to				
avoid increasing flood				
risk and water services				
infrastructure				
improvement				
requirements and their				
delivery, having regard				
to the Environment				
Agency's guidance on				
Water Cycle Studies.				



Zero Carbon (see PPS definition) water neutral development is sought. Development proposals will demonstrate how these requirements will be met.			
Waste Infrastructure – The provision of	Nothing mentioned	There is no obvious reference to waste targets for both construction and	At an outline stage there are no building design and
facilities to reduce		operation phases (as outlined by Eco	construction parameters that
waste to include at		Towns PPS ET19 (a and d). These include	would make a sustainable
least 1 bring site per		zero waste to landfill during the	and waste resources plan
1,000 dwellings		construction stage and ambitious	meaningful beyond the
positioned in		household recycling targets above	policy requirement.
accessible locations.		national targets.	
Provision for			
sustainable		This applications should include an	
management of waste		indicative sustainable waste and	
both during		resources plan that covers both domestic	
construction and in		and non-domestic	
occupation shall be		waste which:	
provided. A waste			
strategy with targets			
above national			
standards and which			
facilitates waste			
reduction shall			
accompany planning			
applications.			

Bioreg	ional			
			<ul> <li>sets targets for residual wastelevels and landfill diversion</li> <li>Establishes how all development will be designed so as to facilitatethe achievement of the targets</li> <li>Sets out how developers will ensure that no construction, demolition and excavation wastewill be sent to landfill.</li> </ul>	•
Design and place shapin	g	1		
High quality exemplary development and design standards including zero-carbon development, Code Level 5 for dwellings at a minimum and the use of low embodied carbon in construction materials, as well as promoting the use of locally sourced materials	Exploring the use of sustainable materials and using recycled materials or locally sourced materials to reduce the carbon footprint and employ inventive ways to offset other resources used	DaS	<ul> <li>High-level commitment provided at</li> <li>Outline stage, but would expect to see</li> <li>commitment or target around: <ul> <li>%age reduction on embodied carbon</li> <li>%age of materials and labour</li> <li>sourcedwithin set miles from the site</li> </ul> </li> <li>This would be in line and keeping with the principles and aspirations of NW Bicester</li> </ul>	
All new buildings designed to incorporate best practice ontackling overheating, taking account of the latest UKCIP climate predictions.			Some consideration of climate change adaptation through passive solar gain and SUDs etc However, would encourage a commitment through a condition at RMS on the creation of overheating analysis using TM59 with future climate scenarios	Consideration of critical health risks such as over heating will be extremely important in developing the detail design. Setting detail fabric energy efficiency targets at an outline stage is not appropriate without understanding the major risks to human life that overheating against future





Bioregional	
	<ul> <li>segregated cycleways – with the increased trip generation this could make cycling undesirable/unsafe</li> <li>A key focus should also be on improving cycle lanes outside of the site to key destinations e.g. train stations. Contributions could be sought to improve cycle paths beyond Bicester North station</li> <li>Could offsite cycle provision be made on the B4100 to provide an alternative access to the site?</li> <li>The current bus gate between phases 2 and 3 of Elmsbrook would need to enforced as this is already being used as a rat run</li> <li>Additionally, food is a key component of low carbon lifestyles. At RMS would expect further detail on edible planting and provision of allotments – we would encourage a mix of food growing opportunities, not just formal allotment plots, but a mix of community gardens and raised bed opportunities</li> </ul>
A layout that maximises the potential for walkable neighbourhoods.	Considerable mention of walkability and permeability within the application, however, a lot of this is dependent on the creation of the local centre within Elmsbrook. Further details of safe walking routes should be provided at RMS



New footpaths and cycleways should be provided that link with existing networks, the wider urban area and community facilities with a legible hierarchy of routes to encourage sustainable modes of travel			Further detailed provided above on active travel. In summary – more focus required on integration of active travel modes. A suggestion would be to incorporate
A layout which makes provision for and prioritises non-car modes and encourages a modal shift from car use to other forms of travel.			Detailed provided above. Would like to see indication that all homes are to be 400m from a bus stop – to help incentivise and promote public transport usage. In addition, a key priority is around the inclusion of EV charging infrastructure. Currently there is no mention of EV charging points, we would expect to see a commitment for charging points for both residential units and within the public realm at key focal points.
Infrastructure to support sustainable modes of transport will be required including enhancement of footpath and cycle path connectivity with the town centre, employment and rail stations. Development that respects the landscape setting and that demonstrates enhancement, restoration or creation of wildlife corridors to achieve a net gain in biodiversity	The vast majority of existing features will be retained and enhanced, and a range of new features will be created. Overall net biodiversity gain will be achieved	Dash ES Planning Statement	As mentioned above, more thought needs to be provided on properly segregated cycle paths that go beyond the red line but enable active transport modes. This could include a link over the B4100 to Caversfield Church. Application states that Biodiversity Net Gain will be achieved, however I could not locate a Biodiversity Strategy that provides the metrics of how Net Gain would be achieved.

Bioregional			
			The application suggest that they are not committing to contributing to the off- site provision for farmland birds. This is actually stated in the NWB SPD - Section 2.231 whereby it requires that all applications within the masterplan area should contribute to off-site mitigation for farmland birds, we therefore suggest that this contribution should be secured. I also have some concerns that the current eastern part of the design lies within an area identified as green space within the SPD. The development of this area would reduce the amount of greenspace across the wider NW Bicester site. Consideration is needed on how this would affect future applications.
No development in areas of flood risk and development set back from watercourses which would provide opportunity for green buffers. Proposals should include a Flood Risk Assessment.	Site in Flood Risk zone 1 – with no significant risk of flooding (albeit small area to south where 2 rivers/streams are present)	DaS	Elements of the site are within flood zones 2 and 3 so would need careful consideration to ensure all proposed buildings are not located on these zones. Additionally, we would like to ensure that all flood risk mitigation measures have a 20-40% climate change allowance provided.



A Landscape and Habitats	Not provided	N/A	I could not locate this in the	
Management Plan to be provided			applicationdocuments I reviewed	
to manage habitats on site and to				
ensure this is				
integral to wider landscape				
management.				
Additional ESD polices				
In what way does the development	In accordance with the energy	Energy Statement	Further detail could be provided on	The project is at an outline
reflect fabric efficiencyin its	hierarchy, each plot should seek		indicative U-values of roof, wall,	planning stage. There are no
construction?	to adopt a "fabric- first"		windowsetc As per first comment, we	buildings designed for the
	approach to building design		would expect to see a breakdown of	project. Its not appropriate to
	(enhancing the performance of		the indicative scheme and the role that	define U values at this stage. A
	the components and materials		fabricefficiency plays.	vast range of parameters will
	that make up the building fabric			influence building design and
	itself, such as improving		We would expect to see a commit to	building physic parameters.
	insulation and reducing cold		build to certain fabric efficiency e.g. in	
	bridging), before considering		line with the FHS consultation –	As noted above FHS parameters
	the use of mechanical or		currentlythis is not clear.	are defined within Section 6.2 of
	electrical services systems and			the report.
	renewable/ low carbon			
	technologies.			
In what other ways has the	Various sections in Energy	Energy Statement	High-level information has been	As noted above FHS parameters
building been designed touse	Statement		providedon daylighting and passive	are defined within Section 6.2 of
less energy?			design measures as well as some active	the report.
			measures.	
			We would expect to see a commit to	
			build to certain fabric efficiency e.g. in	
			line with the FHS consultation –	
			currently	
			this is not clear .	



For all residential developments	Yes	Energy Statement	Yes	
for 100 dwellings or more; all				
residential developments in off				
gas areas for 50dwellings or				
more; and all applications for				
non-domestic developments				
above 1000 sqm, has a feasibility				
assessment for District				
Heating/Combined Heat and				
Power been undertaken? (As				
required by Policy ESD 4) Yes/No				



Does the feasibility assessment indicate that decentralised energy systems are deliverable as part of the development? Yes/No	No	Energy Statement	Not at this stage – due to uncertainty over the heat source of the current energy centre (gas not being compliant with Part L). However, a stand-alone ASHP driven system for this application I don't feel has been explored in enough detail.
If yes, do decentralised energy systems form part of the proposed development? (As required by Policy ESD 4) Yes/No	no	Energy Statement	no
For all residential developments for 100 dwellings or more; all residential developments in off gas areas for 50 dwellings or more; and all applications for non- domestic developments above 1000 sqm, has a feasibility assessment for onsite renewable energy provision been undertaken? (As required by Policy ESD 5) Yes/No	Provided within energy Statement	Energy Statement	Yes
Does the feasibility assessment indicate that onsite renewable energy systems are deliverable as part of the development? Yes/No	Yes	Energy Statement	Yes
If yes, does onsite renewable energy form part of the proposed development? (As required by Policy ESD 5) Yes/No	Yes	Energy Statement	There is a 'suite' of 'building-specific' technologies that could potentially be deployed at the Proposed Development. At this stage, the most suitable technologies are anticipated to be photovoltaic solar panels (PV), solar water heating systems (or solar thermal)



	and heat recovery technologies (e.g.
	wastewater and air heat recovery).