

# Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/01630/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for residential development (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved for later determination"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Nathan Kee Wong"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="12 Haricot Vale Road, Bicester, OX27 8EP"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input "phantom"="" &amp;="" ('ltn')="" (8-9am)="" (in="" -="" ...we've="" 1="" 2="" 20.="" 2018,="" 2019="" 2020)="" 2031="" 2:="" 3.="" 325="" 4]="" 5]="" 6]="" a="" aims="" already="" also="" and="" anomalies,="" avenue="" be="" been="" bicester="" big="" bottlenecks"="" bug="" but="" by="" can="" can't="" cdc="" compared="" comply="" councils="" cranberry="" cycling="" demonstrated="" department="" details="" details,="" does="" doing="" during="" e.g.="" ecobicester="" elmsbrook="" errors="" everything="" existing="" field!="" for="" from="" going="" group="" has="" have="" homes="" how="" i.e.="" ideas.="" illogically="" impact="" in="" infrastructure="" is="" issue="" jam="" justified."="" lengths="" local="" lockdown!="" meet="" members="" mode="" model="" much="" new="" non-vehicle="" nor="" not="" note="" noted="" objecting="" occ="" of="" on="" other="" out="" park="" parking="" phase="" phases,="" planning="" plus="" policy="" predictions="" presentations="" proportion="" proposal="" proposed="" queue="" report="" results="" same.="" school="" seen="" separately="" show="" similar="" smaller="" solution="" standards,="" such="" that="" that...="" the="" these="" this="" to="" top="" traffic="" transport="" trips="" trusted?!="" type="text" up.="" value="I would like to strongly Object to this planning application: the conclusion that Charlotte and Braeburn Avenues will be able to cope with the massive additional traffic generated is simply wrong: there are errors/anomalies in the analysis, and the problem of bottlenecks near the school is not even considered (these are getting worse each year): the impact on the local road network will be SEVERE. Here is a brief summary of WHY I would like to object with the proposal. 1] The traffic model used isn't the most up-to-date version, and is missing new major developments. 2] Traffic surveys and Traffic Monitoring (sensor) data in 2019 showed the original model underestimated traffic in the 8-9am peak hour by 97% on Charlotte Avenue, and by 417% for the school - when the school was only at 20% of its full pupil capacity! As this is wrong, then the updated model will also underestimate. 3] Even the latest model version is missing critical information affecting the roads. E.g. it doesn't model the " various="" vehicle="" vehicles="" walking="" way="" weird="" will="" with="" within=""/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="01/07/2021 18:13:59"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	