

# Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/01630/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for residential development (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved for later determination"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="David Errickson"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="14 Sage Street,Bicester,OX27 8DE"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="I would like to strongly Object to this planning application. There are a number of alternatives that should be considered as the impact of this will be severe on the Elmsbrook entrances. For instance, there is the proposal for a new road to be developed for the construction traffic because of the impact this traffic will create on these entrances. Therefore, this should make it clear that the increase in traffic once the developments have been completed will also impact these entrances. Further, if a road has been completed for the construction traffic, why not use this as a mode of entrance / exit for the development? Survey data has already shown that all models projected prior were significantly underestimating traffic in the area. For example, the Traffic surveys and Traffic Monitoring data from 2019 showed the the original model underestimated traffic in the 8-9am peak hour by 97% on Charlotte Avenue, and by 417% for the school when the school was only at 20% of its full pupil capacity. This is a gross underestimation, and as the data that is currently being used for predictions has not included the new developments in Bicester, this once again will be a gross underestimation of the data provided. I should note that this is also bad practice. Finally, the proposal does not conform to the Eco mentality that Bicester and Cherwell Council apparently strive to be."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="25/06/2021 13:27:29"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	