## Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

**Application Number** 21/01630/OUT

Location

Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield

**Proposal** 

Outline planning application for residential development (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved for later determination

**Case Officer** 

Caroline Ford

**Organisation** 

Name

Katy Soar

**Address** 

22 Braeburn Avenue, Bicester, OX27 8BP

Type of Comment

Objection

**Type** 

neighbour

Comments

I would like to strongly object to this planning application, on the basis that the conclusion that Charlotte and Braeburn Avenues will be able to cope with the massive additional traffic generated is simply wrong. The analysis contains errors and anomalies, such as using data from the 2019 Traffic surveys and Traffic Monitoring which underestimates traffic at peak times, and illogically showing much smaller proportion of vehicle trips from the new homes compared to existing phases. To add to this, the the problem of bottlenecks near the school is not even considered (these are getting worse each year) and as such the impact on the local road network will be severe. The proposal does meet the aims of the EcoBicester Planning Policy Standards, nor does the Cycling and Walking infrastructure comply with the Department for Transport Local Transport Note ('LTN') 1/20. I am also concerned regarding the charges for these roads. Currently we the inhabitants of Elmsbrook pay for these roads as part of our service charge, as they are not council owned; if they are to be used as access by the new estate, will they share the costs of maintenance? Or will be footing the bill entirely for private roads that are used by people outside of Elmsbrook?

**Received Date** 

23/06/2021 11:04:31

**Attachments**