

# Comment for planning application 21/01630/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/01630/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land at North West Bicester Home Farm, Lower Farm and SGR2 Caversfield"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for residential development (within Use Class C3), open space provision, access, drainage and all associated works and operations including but not limited to demolition, earthworks, and engineering operations, with the details of appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved for later determination"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Rupreet Rai"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="43 Braeburn Avenue, Bicester, OX27 8BP"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input "phantom"="" &amp;="" ('ltn')="" (8-9am)="" (in="" -="" 1="" 2="" 20.="" 2018,="" 2019="" 2020)="" 2:="" 3.="" 325="" 4]="" 5]="" 6]="" a="" able="" access="" aims="" already="" also="" and="" anomalies,="" avenue="" be="" been="" bicester="" big="" bottlenecks"="" bug="" but="" by="" cdc="" compared="" comply="" considered,="" cope="" councils="" cranberry="" cycling="" demonstrated="" department="" details="" details,="" does="" doing="" e.g.="" ecobicester="" elmsbrook="" errors="" evidence="" existing="" field!="" for="" from="" future="" going="" group="" has="" have="" homes="" ideas.="" illogically="" impact="" in="" infrastructure="" is="" issue="" local="" meet="" members="" mode="" much="" need="" new="" non-vehicle="" nor="" not="" note="" noted="" nw="" objecting="" occ="" of="" on="" options="" other="" out="" park="" parking="" phase="" phases="" planning="" plus="" policy="" presentations="" proportion="" proposal="" proposed="" provide="" report="" results="" revisited="" road="" robust="" same.="" school="" separately="" show="" simulations="" site="" smaller="" solution="" standards,="" that="" the="" these="" this="" to="" traffic="" traffic."="" transport="" trips="" type="text" updated,="" value="1] The traffic model used isn't the most up-to-date version, and is missing new major developments. 2] Traffic surveys and Traffic Monitoring (sensor) data in 2019 showed the original model underestimated traffic in the 8-9am peak hour by 97% on Charlotte Avenue, and by 417% for the school - when the school was only at 20% of its full pupil capacity! As this is wrong, then the updated model will also underestimate. 3] Even the latest model version is missing critical information affecting the roads. E.g. it doesn't model the " various="" vehicle="" vehicles="" walking="" we="" weird="" wider="" will="" with="" within=""/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="23/06/2021 08:54:41"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	