

Comment for planning application 21/01505/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/01505/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Stone Hill House Stone Hill Bloxham Banbury OX15 4PT"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Extension of existing boarding house through the reinstatement of part of the existing ruined coach house, the demolition of outbuildings, the erection of an extension and link structure (with associated alterations to Stone Hill House), insertion of internal wall, and associated works"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Wayne Campbell"/>
Organisation	<input type="text"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Mrs Fiona Knaggs"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="3 Salmon Close,Bloxham,Banbury,OX15 4PJ"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="I am happy with the idea of the renovation of the run down building and even of building work to accommodate more students for the school BUT from what I can read it all sounds a bit too modern and will not blend in or look the same as all the stone buildings around it. I think it has to be mainly stone otherwise it alters the setting of a Listed Building , the character and appearance of a Listed Building, the setting of a conservation area and the character and appearance of a conservation area. Not sure why a proper panel from the Cherwell DC planning are not involved and just allocated to one person to decide, as such a major development plan that Bloxham School has. Not convinced it fits with Bloxham Neighbourhood plan either. Like I say , if Bloxham School want the building it should be the same stone of all surrounding property so it looks like it was built as the same time as the Listed Building as in a Conservation area."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="10/06/2021 20:58:40"/>
Attachments	