Comment for planning application 21/01224/OUT

Application Number	21/01224/OUT	
Location	Land at former RAF Bicester Bicester Oxfordshire OX26 5HA	
Proposal	Outline planning application for Automotive Experience Quarter comprising Commercial, Business and Services uses (Class E), Light Industrial (Class B2), Local Community and Learning Uses (Class F) and vehicle circuits (Sui Generis) with all matters reserved aside from that of access).	
Case Officer	Rebekah Morgan	
Organisation		
Name	Joseph Hobbs	
Address	15 Skimmingdish Lane, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8UW	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	The proposed expansion of the use of the site would be extremely disruptive to the lives of local residents due to noise pollution & would run counter to governmental policy on reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The events that take place at the site already are extremely loud, & make it impossible to concentrate even indoors with the windows closed. For this to occur even more frequently would be intolerable to everybody living nearby! The noise & pollution of the traffic to & from the site is problematic at present & should not be intensified (as the proposal clearly intends to happen). Furthermore, the proposed changes seek to increase & promote the use of environmentally damaging, carbon-intensive technologies for the purpose of entertainment. Given the climate crisis that our species is facing & the international commitments that the UK & other governments have made to reduce carbon dioxide emissions (not to mention nitrogen oxides, ground-level ozone & all the other greenhouse gases & atmospheric pollutants produced by internal combustion engines), it would seem absurd to consider going ahead with the proposal as it stands. The proposal should be altered to specify that the majority of usage is to be for the development & promotion of electric vehicles & other carbon-negative technologies. Bicester (& the UK) could take this opportunity to be at the forefront of electric motor racing, & possibly flight! Anything else makes a mockery of our international responsibilities to the environment, as well as our government's commitment to invest in the "green economy". The proposal as it stands would be environmentally & politically disastrous, & a significant cultural misstep.	
Received Date	02/09/2021 12:24:47	
Attachments		