

# Comment for planning application 21/01224/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="21/01224/OUT"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land at former RAF Bicester Bicester Oxfordshire OX26 5HA"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for Automotive Experience Quarter comprising Commercial, Business and Services uses (Class E), Light Industrial (Class B2), Local Community and Learning Uses (Class F) and vehicle circuits (Sui Generis) with all matters reserved aside from that of access)."/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Rebekah Morgan"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Sinaed Heslop"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="19 Woodcote Road,Caversfield,Bicester,OX27 8TZ"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input "retro="" 19="" 4)="" a="" abatement="" abroseden="" activities="" activity="" adding="" additional="" air="" an="" and="" applaud="" are="" as="" attempting="" benefit="" between="" bicester="" both="" build="" burden="" can="" cause="" centre="" close="" communicated="" communication.="" community="" could="" covid="" current="" deliver,="" despite="" economy="" engage="" engaged="" enhance="" ensure="" excessive="" expansion="" extra="" far="" fest"="" for="" former="" g="" has="" have="" heritage="" heyford="" however="" i="" ie="" if="" impact="" impact."="" in="" including="" increasing="" information="" infrastructure="" insight="" into="" is="" it="" knowingly="" lack="" larger="" lay="" local="" logistical="" misunderstanding="" mod="" more="" motion="" motoring="" negative="" never="" noise="" nuisance.="" number="" of="" on="" out="" parking="" pedestrian="" planned="" planning="" pollution="" provided="" recent="" regarding="" regeneration="" relatively="" resident="" residents="" residents"="" ring="" risk.="" road="" safety="" same="" scale="" set="" significant="" site="" site,="" sites="" small="" structure="" such="" terms="" that="" the="" there="" this="" timely="" to="" traffic="" type="" unsuitable="" up="" usaf="" value="I am opposed to the full plan submitted by Bicester Heritage due to the following; 1 )Mention is made to the DEFRA Noise Policy Statement for England March 2010 in the context of future activity. However there is no attached current activity policy to show how the applicant is currently managing noise levels successfully on a smaller scale track and activity functionality. Confidence in the local residents to manage future noise nuisance would be enhanced by information on solutions brought in to satisfy previous Statutory Nuisance notices received. 2) In regards to Section 8 of the Enviromental Noise Impact document it states: Each model assesses a single vehicle on the test circuit shown in ten positions, each position representing 10% of the energy generated by the car during each lap. The positions have been chosen to represent the points at which the highest noise output can be expected. I do not believe a single vehicle test to be representative of the level of activity outlined within the planning application. 3) Within the same document Bicester Heritage have stated " venue="" venues="" via="" vicinity="" what="" where="" will="" with="" within="" without=""/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="11/08/2021 16:22:51"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	