

Comment for planning application 21/01224/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/01224/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land at former RAF Bicester Bicester Oxfordshire OX26 5HA"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for Automotive Experience Quarter comprising Commercial, Business and Services uses (Class E), Light Industrial (Class B2), Local Community and Learning Uses (Class F) and vehicle circuits (Sui Generis) with all matters reserved aside from that of access)."/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Rebekah Morgan"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Philip & Elspeth Shirtcliff"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Rigden Lodge,Bicester Road,Stratton Audley,Bicester,OX27 9BT"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Comment"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="We find this application document unsatisfactory for a number of reasons: it is highly detailed in supporting information but not in the detail of what, how often, and the nature of events that will be planned. We would like to see a model of the proposal for public display and arrangements for meeting the developers and Cherwell planning representatives. For us, an executive Summary would have been most useful. Our primary concerns are: 1. Noise levels will be a concern because Stratton Audley (SA) is downwind from Bicester Heritage of the SW-W prevailing winds and the noise test sites do not appear to take that into account. 2. Air pollution: there will be increased air pollution and again the prevailing SW-W prevailing winds carry that directly to SA. 3. Traffic levels will increase and it is likely that the nature of the automotive developments may encourage the use of Bicester Road - perhaps informally - which is long and straight, as a test route. 4. Light pollution: at the moment SA has no street lighting and this makes it a very attractive place for looking at the night sky, with light pollution from Bicester town being comparatively insignificant. There should be controls on public lighting in the development. 5. We support comments made by other people concerning the potential environmental impact, both on the landscape and on wildlife. 6. However, there are positive possibilities: We look forward to the preservation and presentation of this important wartime airfield and we would hope that it will be brought up to a level of information which will encourage members of the public to visit. We would see this as a prerequisite before approval of the scheme. The Historic Doc is very interesting."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="28/05/2021 11:25:33"/>
Attachments	