## Comment for planning application 21/01123/F

Application Number	21/01123/F
Location	Hatch End Old Poultry Farm Steeple Aston Road Middle Aston Bicester OX25 5QL
Proposal	Demolition of existing buildings. Construction of replacement business units and associated external works. (Re-submission of 20/01127/F)
Case Officer	James Kirkham
Organisation Name	Maurice & Marie O'Connor
Address	Fir Lane Cottage, Fir Lane, Steeple Aston, Bicester, OX25 4SF
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	
	Subject Planning Application - 21/01123/F Dear Mr Kirkham, We are writing to object to the Planning Application - 21/01123/F, the redevelopment of Hatch End Chicken Sheds. The proposal seeks to replace existing storage, warehousing and office buildings to provide 25 business units comprising Class E(g) and B8 totalling 2,215 m2 (GIA), with 79 car parking spaces and 24 cycling spaces. The developers have listened to some of the objections previously raised to their withdrawn application. The reduction in the number of business units from 30 to 25 and the redesign of those units to become single story units, is welcomed However, this reduced sized cannot overcome the existing road and unchangeable road infrastructure limitations, considering the many pinch points in Steeple Aston on Southside, Paines Hill and North Side as well as the very narrow Fir Lane road. The revised application does not address the real and more serious concerns around the safety of children arriving to the pre-school and primary school every day located on Fir Lane. Our daughter attended and now our two grandsons attend the schools on Fir Lane. We know at first hand the safety concerns parents have at drop and pick up times. The increased heavy construction traffic, with their poor sightlines, during the construction phase and the significantly increased office traffic once the development is complete, do nothing to allay these concerns. The new application comes under Class E(g) and B8 whereas the previous application was under classifications B1, B2 and B8 (light industrial, storage and distribution units). The new classification site of UCC Traffic Department have considered this in their assessment. The application states "OCC concluded that the increase in trip generation present in the TS as part of the application (LAP ref. 20/01127/F) was considered unlikely to cause significant adverse traffic or road safety of the surrounding transport network. This is a reckless and very dangerous overview of the condurast

vehicles endeavour to pass each other. If they did visit the village, they would notice that two S4 busses travelling in opposite directions along South Side have significant difficulty passing one another and frequently must mount the kerb just to get by at any time of the day. That is the situation at present! The way we all live today, post Covid, means that we are shopping much more online. A consequence of this has been the significant increase in delivery vans in the village. Has the OCC taken this into account? On the map used in the developers' application (Figure 3.1 Site Location and Local Amenities) all roads appear straight, wide and flat. The houses appear tiny. This map gives a distorted view of the road infrastructure in Steeple Aston and fails to properly indicate the steep gradients approaching Steeple Aston from Lower Heyford and on Paines Hill. The Transport Statement includes a projection that 18.6% of people coming to the proposed development will arrive by sustainable transportation. If by this the applicant means public transport, then a quick review of bus and train timetables shows that Steeple Aston is a very poorly served rural village at all times of the day. As to the assertion that 8.3% people coming to the development are expected to arrive on foot, we can only say this: from the north via Middle Aston there is no footpath, no public lighting and roads are even narrower than in Steeple Aston. With the expected increase in traffic that the development will undoubtedly bring, no one in their right mind would choose to walk from Middle Aston to Hatch End. When walking to the new development from Lower Heyford Railway station or the bus stop in Steeple Aston, the walk is long and up hill and the pavement ends at the primary school on Fir Lane as does the public lighting. The proposed development will also blur the boundaries between Steeple Aston and Middle Aston, something the Planners are seeking to avoid. No account has been taken of the toll that 18 months of heavy construction traffic will have on all the wonderful listed buildings in the village, particularly those located on the route of the construction and subsequent traffic. Neither has the disruption that will occur to services (christenings, marriages and funerals) at the ancient parish church of Saints Peter and Paul being considered both during and after the construction phase. Nor the effect of the heavy construction traffic on the fabric of the church. Comparing other out of town/urban office and light industrial developments does not hold up and is biased and should be discounted by the Planners. This is a rural area accessed by small roads and single-track roads. The proposed development is between two residential small villages unlike the comparator sites. No account has been taken of the disruption to wildlife or to light pollution. In conclusion, paramount to any consideration of the proposed development has to be the traffic implications and the safety of our children attending the two village schools. This is a wholly unsatisfactory resubmission and we strongly object to it. Maurice & Marie O'Connor

**Received Date** 

28/04/2021 20:35:47

Attachments