## **Rachel Tibbetts**

From: Charlie Connor

**Sent:** 16 April 2021 08:24

To: DC Support

**Subject:** Planning application no 21/00922/OUT

To Development Management

From

May Connor, Springfield Bungalow, Chapel Lane, Little Bourton, OX17 1RD

I strongly object to the latest proposed planning application for 9 houses at Great Bourton with their access road onto Foxden Way.

Planning has already been granted for 3 that are being built and 2 not started yet, with access onto School Lane, producing potentially 10 more cars using the single track roads with blind bends, parked cars and creep and peep junctions through Great Bourton and Little Bourton. It is also used by vehicles from other villages as a 'short cut'. There are no buses so 9 more dwellings would mean at least 18 more car movements a day.

Foxden Way has a national speed limit of 60 mph which should be reduced to 30mph even now. The narrow road is used by families with buggies and small bikes, joggers, cyclists, senior citizens, mobility scooters, dog walkers and horses, all exercising. There is also large essential farm machinery which takes up the whole road. There is no footpath and it is a single track road with only a few gateways to pass another vehicle. At the moment it is dry and looks ok but when the verges grow in summer visibility is compromised and in winter it is a quagmire.

Now, and increasingly in the future the use of electric cars will make all outdoor exercising on lanes more dangerous as none of us can hear them coming from behind us so the less cars on the road the safer it will be.

Sometimes there are few people and cars about, half an hour later it can be bedlam, so don't just look at it once and think it's a nice quiet road, it isn't.

I have an allotment and as I live at Little Bourton which is nearly a mile away along Foxden Way I usually have to drive to the site. Many people who live at Great Bourton also drive as it is impossible to leave anything worth stealing on site.

There are padlocked gates at the entrance to the site and I object to the suggestion of another footpath access to the allotment site which would undermine security and is not necessary.

Yours faithfully May Connor

Sent from my iPad