## Comment for planning application 21/00922/OUT

Application Number	21/00922/OUT
Location	Land West Of Foxden Way Great Bourton OX17 1QY
Proposal	OUTLINE Planning Application for up to 9 entry-level affordable houses - all matters reserved save for access
Case Officer	James Kirkham
Organisation Name	Julie Tomlin
Address	The Barn,Crow Lane,Great Bourton,OX17 1RL
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	Great Bourton has now had several developments, large and small, in the last few years despite its category B designation. A further development is now being considered yet there are no new services. Development here remains unsustainable despite the best attempts of report writers to suggest otherwise. This development fails to meet the criteria for a category B village in C.262 which lists whether it would be "acceptable minor development" - It is outside the village built up area and extends into open countryside, on a green field site, harming the setting of the village in the landscape. The landscape survey submitted ignores the fact that the hedgerows and trees are mainly deciduous, and we do not yet know how tall these properties will be. All of these details have yet to be submitted. The village properties on this edge of the village for are set down, low built and do not trude in the landscape. The application suggests that the allotnents extend the developed envelope of the village. This is surely not accurate - an allotment is essentially growing space and the few sheds are not habitable buildings - low small wooden structures are hardly "buildings". If a stable or barn in open countryside does not make the countryside a built up area, then neither does a shed on an allotment. The allotments are on agricultural land in open countryside. The distance, carefully measured no doubt, has ignored the contours. In reality very few people ever walk to any of the above (and for most Tesco is a more likely does a shed on a walk to the doctors' surgery, school and Cropredy Stores from Great Bourton. The distance, carefully measured no doubt, has ignored the contours. In reality very few people ever walk to any of the above (and for most Tesco is a more likely to be by car. Giving people a bike does not mean they use ti place of the car. Traffic movements therefore are higher than those suggested and it is usual here to have 2 or more cars per property. Our bus service is non existent for practical purpose even

village built up area, on a green field site, on a lane with no urban development that is used extensively for leisure purposes. It is in a category B village that has already had extensive development with no further services and a village where everyone uses a car for nearly everything. The reality is that any development here is not sustainable however much the report writers would like it to be otherwise. An affordable housing development in Banbury would be considerably more sustainable. I therefore object to this application.

**Received Date** 

28/03/2021 20:28:43

Attachments