Rachel Tibbetts

From: Gemma Magnuson
Sent: 09 March 2023 11:48

To: DC Support

Subject: FW: MX track and planning application 21/00517/F

Kind regards

Gemma Magnuson (Mrs) MRTPI MTCP Senior Planning Officer (South) Communities Directorate Cherwell District Council

Tel: 01295 227006

gemma.magnuson@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

www.cherwell.gov.uk

Find us on Facebook www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil

Follow us on Twitter @Cherwellcouncil

You will appreciate that the above views are those of Council Officers and though given in good faith, cannot prejudice any decision which the Council, as the Local Planning Authority, may make.

Please note that my usual working hours are Monday to Friday 9.00am to 3.00pm.

Planning and Development services can be contacted as follows: Development Management - planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk; Planning Policy - planning.policy@cherwell-dc.gov.uk; Por the latest information on Planning and Development please visit www.cherwell.gov.uk

From: Robin Oliver

Sent: 09 March 2023 11:46

To: Councillor Andrew Beere <Andrew.Beere@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Hugo Brown <Hugo.Brown@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Gemma Magnuson <Gemma.Magnuson@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor George Reynolds <George.Reynolds@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Adam Nell <Adam.Nell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Angus Patrick <Angus.Patrick@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Barry Wood <Barry.Wood@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Bryn Williams <Bryn.Williams@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Colin Clarke <Colin.Clarke@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor David Hingley <David.Hingley@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; David Peckford <David.Peckford@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Dorothy Walker <Dorothy.Walker@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Fiona Mawson <Fiona.Mawson@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; fraser.webser@cherwell-dc.gov.uk; Councillor Gemma Coton <Gemma.Coton@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Ian Corkin <Ian.Corkin@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Jean Conway < Jean.Conway@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor John Broad <John.Broad@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Leslie Sibley <Leslie.Sibley@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Lynn Pratt <Lynn.Pratt@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Matthew Hodgson <Matthew.Hodgson@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Maurice Billington < Maurice. Billington @ Cherwell-DC.gov.uk >; Councillor Mike Bishop <Mike.Bishop@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Nick Cotter <Nick.Cotter@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Paul Seckington <Paul.Seckington@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Phil Chapman <Phil.Chapman@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Rebecca Biegel <Rebecca.Biegel@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Richard Mould <Richard.Mould@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Sandy Dallimore <Sandy.Dallimore@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Simon Holland <Simon.Holland@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Yvonne Rees <Yvonne.Rees@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk> Cc: David Best Shen PC Clerk <sheningtonwithalkertonpc.clerk@gmail.com>; Helen Marshall <director@cpreoxon.org.uk>; James Hartley Jayne Miller

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Julian Philcox <julian@jpplanning.co< td=""><td>o.uk>; Peter Barrett</td></julian@jpplanning.co<>	o.uk>; Peter Barrett
Shaughn O'Brien	david gilmour	ndolden
simon porter <stlp.sheningtonwithalkertonpc@gmail.com></stlp.sheningtonwithalkertonpc@gmail.com>		
Subject: MX track and plant	ning application 21/00517/F	

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen,

Ahead of this afternoon's Planning Committee meeting, on behalf of Shenington with Alkerton Parish Council and Cherwell CPRE, I would reiterate our strong opposition to this destructive proposal in the midst of the Ironstone Downs. The application has no local benefits, with even local business objecting, instead emanating only harm into the surrounding environment and communities.

Whilst the Officer's Report states that the conditions applied in 2021 have been met, there seem to be a number of items referenced in that report which have not been addressed according to its author. That, in combination with the changes made to the proposal have led to requests for further opportunities to speak on the proposal. These requests have been rejected.

I would suggest this is not particularly in the interests of democracy and would not only further suggest that it should be reconsidered but also the item be deferred to a later date in order that objections can be fully considered.

Kind regards, Robin Oliver.