

Comment for planning application 21/00343/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/00343/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land East Of The Leys Adderbury"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of 2 dwellings"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Bob Neville"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="Colin Scott"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Huron House,The Leys,Adderbury,Banbury,OX17 3ES"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="I strongly OBJECT to this proposal for the following reasons: - Under the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan the location falls outside the agreed Settlement Boundary, which the local Plan states ought NOT to be supported for development purposes. - Diversion of public footpath 101/5 would deprive the village of a safe, attractive green space. - Pedestrians forced to walk further along the access road as a result of the public footpath diversion would be at risk from vehicles, especially on the bend. - The steps at the top of the access road are also used by villagers to access the Lucy Plackett Playing Field and the MUGA - children in particular would be very vulnerable to traffic using the access road. - Ownership of the access road is disputed by the Parish Council. - The lower part of the access road is well-used by pedestrians walking into the village centre and is too narrow to safely accommodate the additional traffic this development would cause. - The development would lead to loss of a number of established trees and would be detrimental to trees with a TPO (tree preservation order), including a 400 year old oak tree on the site boundary. - The proposed footpath diversion would be detrimental to the trees with TPOs along the new route. - The proposed footpath diversion emerges onto the access road at a point much closer to the bend, giving pedestrians less time to be aware of traffic. - This is not development of scrubland, as suggested, but development of an established residential garden. - The development would be detrimental to local wildlife and would destroy a wildlife corridor between the old railway embankment and the fields behind The Leys. I urge you to reject this proposal"/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="09/03/2021 11:32:56"/>
Attachments	