## Comment for planning application 20/03347/F

Application Number	20/03347/F
Location	The Pheasant Pluckers Inn Burdrop OX15 5RQ
Proposal	Erection of an agricultural barn store
Case Officer	George Smith
Organisation	
Name	Christopher Steane

Burdrop House, Street Through Burdrop, Burdrop, Banbury, OX15 5RQ

**Type of Comment** 

neighbour

Objection

**Comments** 

**Address** 

**Type** 

I am the owner of Burdrop House. I object to this application on the following grounds: 1. The property is in a conservation area. 2. The description of the proposed building as an agricultural barn is misleading to the point of falsehood. I do not believe the land to be suitable for a commercial vineyard. I believe the assertion that it is intended as a vineyard is a cover for the purpose of establishing a campsite, which would not be permissible or appropriate in this conservation area. A barn is not required for a vineyard of this scale. 3. A campsite would be an intrusion into the valley between the Sibfords, an area subject of extensive precedents declining any use other than pure agricultural. As the application identifies, a campsite requires toilet facilities. An application for a building to house toilet facilities in the location would be declined. This is the true purpose of this application. 4. A campsite would require frequent vehicular access in an extremely dangerous location. 5. The assertions made about building waste are irrelevant. If they are true, the applicant purchased the land in the condition it was in and paid the price. 6. I have little sympathy with the applicant's assertions regarding commercial viability. Before the applicant purchased the pub it traded adequately. There is a long history of the applicant's abusive approach to the planning system in attempts to achieve a change of use, or to achieve alternative and inappropriate uses, in the course of which the value as a public house has by the Applicant's actions deteriorated even more significantly than the value of country pubs generally. This course of actions has unsurprisingly alienated the local community who have been deprived of a valued amenity. Given the planning history, it should be evident that the agricultural use proposed is, as I have indicated, not the true motivation. The applicant should adopt a commercial approach of creating a locally valued amenity, while respecting the location within a conservation area.

**Received Date** 

08/01/2021 18:17:46

**Attachments**