

COTEFIELD FARM, BODICOTE

Arboricultural Impact Assessment



rpsgroup.com



Docum	ent status				
Versi on	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
V1	For Planning	TF	BW	BW	25.02.21
Approv	val for issue				
Brian W	allis	Sh.M		25 February 202	1

© Copyright RPS Group Plc. All rights reserved.

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client and unless otherwise agreed in writing by RPS Group Plc, any of its subsidiaries, or a related entity (collectively 'RPS'), no other party may use, make use of, or rely on the contents of this report. The report has been compiled using the resources agreed with the client and in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client. No liability is accepted by RPS for any use of this report, other than the purpose for which it was prepared. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. RPS does not accept any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report.

RPS accepts no responsibility for any documents or information supplied to RPS by others and no legal liability arising from the use by others of opinions or data contained in this report. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by others has been made. RPS has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in compiling this report and no warranty is provided as to the report's accuracy. No part of this report may be copied or reproduced, by any means, without the prior written consent of RPS.

Prepared by:	Prepared for:
RPS Consulting Services Ltd	Will Bratt
Thomas Flood	
Principal Arboriculturist	
Noble House, Capital Drive,	
Linford Wood, Milton Keynes	
MK14 6QP	
T 01908 302634	
E thomas.flood@rpsgroup.com	



Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	SITE INFORMATION	4
3	TREE QUALITY ASSESSMENT	5
4	CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS	9
5	BELOW GROUND CONSTRAINTS	10
6	ABOVE GROUND CONSTRAINTS	11
7	ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT - WORKS	13
8	PRE-DEVELOPMENT WORKS	17
9	CONSTRUCTION WORKS	19
10	CONCLUSIONS	21

Tables

TABLE 1: TREE SCHEDULETABLE 2: TREE GROUP SCHEDULETABLE 3: SHRUB / HEDGE SCHEDULE

Figures

JSL3972_700: TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN JSL3972_710: TREE PROTECTION PLAN

Appendices

APPENDIX A	METHODOLOGY
APPENDIX B	THE TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN
APPENDIX C	BS5837 CASCADE CHART FOR TREE QUALITY ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX D	TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS
APPENDIX E	CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION SIGNAGE – EXAMPLE
APPENDIX F	ARBORICULTURAL GLOSSARY



1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 RPS were instructed in late 2018 by Will Bratt to undertake a Tree Survey of a site at Cotefield Farm, Bodicote proposed for development. We have later been instructed to provide an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) following comments received by the Cherwell District Council Tree Officer in respect of the proposed construction of a new food store at the site.
- 1.2 The survey was undertaken in accordance with BS5837:2012 as described within the survey methodology attached to this report at Appendix A.
- 1.3 The purpose of the survey was to gather data on the trees and to prepare a Tree Constraints Plan that has been used in the design of the proposed new sports facilities. Appendix B – *The Tree Constraints Plan* explains the process of interpreting the plan and how it is used during the design and impact assessment process.
- 1.4 This report should be read in conjunction with the supplied Tree Constraints Plan (see drawing 700), Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710) and all other relevant Tables and Appendices as detailed within the table of contents.
- 1.5 The tree positions were plotted using Topographical Survey information and a sub metre GPS plotting device (Trimble Geo 7x).
- 1.6 The survey and this assessment were undertaken by RPS Principal Arboriculturist Thomas Flood BSc (Hons) MICFor MArborA.



2 SITE INFORMATION

- 2.1 The site currently serves as overflow parking for the auction house at Cotefield Business Park and previously served as a construction compound for the adjacent housing development. The site is bound to the south by units at Cotefield Business Park and to the north by the Cotefield Business Park access road.
- 2.2 The site is located at Ordnance Survey Grid Reference SP 46838 37475 and located approximately 3.7km south-east of Banbury centre.
- 2.3 Landscape planting has been undertaken around some boundaries of the overflow car park and contain species such as semi mature birch (*Betula pendula*), alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), goat willow (*Salix caprea*), field maple (*Acer campestre*), English oak (*Quercus robur*), larch (*Larix decidua*), wild cherry (*Prunus avium*) and hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*).
- 2.4 A Tree Preservation Order (TPO) check has been made to Cherwell District Council previously and RPS and the client are both aware that a TPO exists at the site. Individual copper beech (*Fagus sylvatica* 'Purpureum'), recorded as T4 in the RPS survey, and group G2 which contains sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) and English oak, are covered by TPO No.12/1994.



3 TREE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Retention Values

- 3.1 All trees inspected were categorised using BS5837:2012 and the attached Tree Constraints Plan (see drawing 700) shows tree positions, numbers, and retention categories. Trees were recorded as individuals and as groups.
- 3.2 Trees have been surveyed as groups where they can be considered as forming a group as they form cohesive features either aerodynamically (i.e. they form a discrete group feature providing companion), culturally (i.e. they are composed of trees of a similar size, age and species subject to the same management) or visually (i.e. where the value of the trees within the group is as a whole rather than individually).
- 3.3 Where trees have been surveyed as groups the details recorded with respect to condition and retention value intend to represent an average tree within the group; however, on occasion, it must be noted that there will be exceptions within any group that do not conform to the typical character of that group.
- 3.4 The initial stage of a tree survey in accordance to BS5837:2012 looks at the trees on the site in terms of life expectancy and condition. Trees are then categorised according to their retention value.
- 3.5 **Category A** trees are those that have been assessed as being of a high quality and value; significant amendments to the proposed scheme should be considered in preference to their removal. These trees are shown in Green on the Tree Constraints Plan.
- 3.6 **Category B** trees are those that have been assessed as being of a moderate quality and value; amendments to the proposed scheme should be considered in preference to their removal. These trees are shown in Blue on the Tree Constraints Plan.
- 3.7 **Category C** trees are those that have been assessed as being of a low quality and value; the loss of these specimens should not be considered as a constraint to development. These trees are shown in Grey on the Tree Constraints Plan
- 3.8 **Category U** trees are those that have been assessed as being in poor condition and having no retention value; these trees should not be a material consideration in the planning process. These trees are shown in Red on the Tree Constraints Plan.
- 3.9 A total of 25 individual trees were surveyed during the visit. Of those 25 individuals, one was Category A (high quality), 13 were classed as Category B (moderate quality), ten as Category C (low quality or immature) and one Category U (poor quality or dead).



3.10 In addition to the 25 individuals, a total of four groups of trees were recorded and these were recorded as one Category A and three Category B.

Physiological Condition

- 3.11 Trees considered to be in a good physiological condition are those with crown density and shoot extension growth levels within the expected ranges for their age and species. Generally, these trees, subject to being of a suitable structural condition, can be expected to make a lasting contribution to the site. Additionally, trees within the good condition class are likely to tolerate changes within their growing environment that occur as a result of development, as such their successful retention will be easier to achieve.
- 3.12 Trees considered to be in a fair physiological condition are those specimens exhibiting lower shoot extension growth and reduced crown density than would typically be expected. These specimens have a lower life expectancy than those within the good condition class and will not tolerate significant changes as a result of development as well as those in the good condition class.
- 3.13 Trees considered to be in a poor physiological condition are those exhibiting crown and shoot dieback and significantly reduced crown density. Trees of a poor physiological condition are not likely to make a lasting contribution to the site and whilst their retention in the short term may be beneficial such retention will only be achievable if the trees are fully protected throughout development as they will not tolerate changes in their growing environment.

Structural Condition

- 3.14 There were variations in the structural condition of the trees surveyed; however individual tree condition is largely consistent with expectations for the age, management, and species of the tree.
- 3.15 The majority of structural defects that were noted across most of the tree stock on the site, such as minor deadwood in tree crowns, were not considered significant and are unlikely to result in the premature failure of the tree.

Age Distribution

- 3.16 Trees assessed as being young (Y) in age are those considered to be less than 10 years old. These trees can generally be considered to have the potential for rapid and significant future growth. Whilst these specimens are not likely to make a substantial contribution to the landscape character of the site at present they will, if retained, provide succession for the eventual removal of mature or over-mature trees as a result of declining physiological or structural condition.
- 3.17 Trees assessed as being semi-mature (SM) are those of more than 10 years old but having attained less than 40% of the maximum lifespan expected for the species. These trees will generally make



some contribution to the current landscape character and appearance of the site and their retention will provide a more immediate succession of mature trees. As with young trees these specimens will have the potential for rapid and significant future growth.

- 3.18 Early-mature trees (EM) are those considered to have reached between 40% and 70% of their ultimate life expectancy. These trees are generally not considered to have a significant potential for future growth though they will increase in size at a slower rate than young and semi-mature trees.
- 3.19 Mature trees (M) are those considered to have reached between 70% and 100% of their species life expectancy. These trees will have little future growth potential and they have generally reached their maximum expected size for the location. These trees will generally make the highest contribution to the landscape character of the site at this time; however, a tree stock over dominated by mature trees will require careful management to ensure that a continuation of canopy cover can be achieved.
- 3.20 Over-mature trees (OM) are those considered to have existed for longer than typical of their species. They do not have the potential to increase in size and may in fact reduce in size as their crowns begin to break up. These trees will often make a significant contribution to the landscape character of the site and are likely to have ecological value. However, the retention of these trees within new development must be carefully planned as they are approaching the end of their useful life expectancy and they will often have structural defects. Where over-mature trees are to be retained in new development it is essential that access is available for their eventual removal.
- 3.21 Veteran trees (V) are those that show features of biological, cultural, or aesthetic value that are characteristic of an individual surviving beyond the typical age range for the species. These trees have negligible potential to increase in size. Veteran trees are usually of a high ecological value and they will require sensitive management where they are to be retained in new development. As such it is again essential that they are located in areas where access is available to undertake management operations and where there is a reduced risk of harm occurring from failure of the trees.

Species

3.22 The tree species recorded during the survey are listed below:

BOTANICAL NAME Acer campestre Acer pseudoplatanus Aesculus hippocastanum Alnus glutinosa COMMON NAME Field maple Sycamore Horse chestnut Common alder



BOTANICAL NAME

Betula pendula Crataegus monogyna Fraxinus excelsior Larix decidua Prunus avium Quercus robur Salix caprea Sorbus aucuparia Tilia cordata

COMMON NAME

Silver birch Hawthorn Ash European larch Wild cherry Pedunculate oak Goat willow Rowan Small leaved lime



4 CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

- 4.1 The development proposals are for a 1235sqm GFA food store at Cotefield Business Park, with associated access, car parking, delivery area and landscaping on a parcel of land on the southern edge of the settlement of Bodicote.
- 4.2 Access will be required for personnel / equipment to:
 - Remove / prune any trees and install tree protection fencing.
 - Undertake any earthworks required.
 - Reroute any services / utilities required.
 - Construct new food store and areas of hard surfacing.
 - Installation of footpaths and access points.
 - Carry out any final landscaping.
- 4.3 Reference to this document should form part of any Method Statement regarding the proposed construction works. This will show an understanding of the issues and actions required to protect the trees. Areas of machinery exclusion have been shown on the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710) to help in the production of any such statements.
- 4.4 The construction process will need to be monitored during its progress to ensure continued protection of any retained trees.



5 BELOW GROUND CONSTRAINTS

- 5.1 Tree roots require moisture and nutrients to grow successfully, if these are not available then they will not be able to colonise the area surrounding the main stem. The tree will form a root system and exploit any water and nutrient resources that are available to them. Roots do not form in hostile environments and the tree will adapt its size and shape if any of these items are in limited supply.
- 5.2 Trees in many urban areas are limited by the harsh conditions that the tree finds itself in. They are woodland species that find themselves severely limited in some urban situations. The older trees within the site have been able to establish themselves and have achieved what should be considered a maximum size for their species and location.
- 5.3 Sealed surfaces and good urban drainage are bad for root and tree growth. The soil becomes desiccated in these situations and available moisture is greatly reduced. This along with the high levels of compaction found associated with hard surfaces restrict rooting.
- 5.4 All activities that could directly affect the roots to the trees within the site have been considered and the works to achieve the proposed development considered. Construction method statements should be fully specified before any works adjacent to tree is carried out. Where they are likely to be adjacent to the rootable area supervision by a qualified arboriculturist should be considered. The specifications should be a combination of current best practice and relevant British Standards relating to demolition and construction adjacent to trees.
- 5.5 Below ground services are usually installed using traditional trench and fill methods. Obviously trenching near trees has the likelihood of root severance and should be avoided. The use of trenchless techniques offers an alternative to the traditional trenching and should be considered whenever services have to be located in the RPA's of retained trees.



6 ABOVE GROUND CONSTRAINTS

- 6.1 The above ground constraints that trees provide are largely concerned with their mass (crown and main stem) and these constraints are usually abated by pruning or removal. Pruning is used to allow access and prevent damage to the tree in a site development. Removal is considered when the tree is in a poor condition and would fail in a reasonable time scale or the development could not be achieved with the tree in its current position and its removal is agreed as part of the planning application.
- 6.2 A specification for any required pruning should be compiled once the tree protection fencing has been erected in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan provided. If pruning is required to erect the fencing this should be carried out with the agreement of the Site Manager and Arboricultural specialist. The pruning requirement will be to allow access for site vehicles and works equipment to be used adjacent to tree protection fencing and ensure no physical damage to the crowns of each tree occurs whilst the construction works are being carried out.
- 6.3 All crown pruning works should be carried out to the specifications contained within BS3998:2010 *Tree Work – Recommendations* and the guidance below. They should be carried out sensitively and maintain or improve the crowns balance and form for each individual tree.

Tree Access Pruning Specification

- 6.4 All works shall be carried out by suitably qualified and professional contractors who are clear in the understanding of the specification below and their requirements.
- 6.5 All works shall be carried out using suitable handsaws and these saws should be sharp and in a serviceable condition. The use of chainsaws shall only be used with the agreement of the supervising officer (SO).
- 6.6 All risk assessments shall be carried out by the contractor prior to works commencing and they should be fully satisfied to the conditions and any hazards within the working area. Any concerns should be reported to the SO.
- 6.7 The clearance height should be agreed and included in the schedule of works.
- 6.8 Works beyond this dimension are not to be part of the works unless it involves additional health and safety works to the tree.
- 6.9 The works are designed to provide access to the working area during the construction period and if the access is to be required beyond this period then a tree management programme with the provision for cyclical pruning be agreed.



- 6.10 The guidance and main document providing the recommended guidance is BS3998:2010 *Tree Work - Recommendations* and this should be followed if any doubt exists with the requirements of the work. Particular sections for reference are Section 7 Pruning and related work, and within this section, 7.2 Minimizing the potentially undesirable effects of pruning, 7.6 Crown lifting, 7.8 Selective pruning and 7.9 Pruning for infrastructure. This is not an exclusive list.
- 6.11 The aim of the pruning should be to provide a natural appearance within the crown and should not be to leave an acute side to the crown of the tree. Final pruning cuts should be considered and where possible to natural target pruning points such as branch unions where branch bark ridges can be used to guide the pruning cuts. Where these points are not available the exposed stub should be a small as possible and an assessment of each individual branch taken by the operative before making the cut.
- 6.12 All cuts should be made so that they do not provide future structural issues such as weak forks and loss of structural integrity. If there are any concerns regarding the above, then this shall be raised prior to works commencing. Branch reductions should be used to eliminate bark rips and tears, they will not be accepted by the client.
- 6.13 All debris should be removed form site and disposed of in an environmentally sensitive way agreed with the SO.





7 ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT -WORKS

Introduction

- 7.1 The construction process will need to be monitored during its progress and this Arboricultural Impact Assessment should be used as the document provided to guide the construction process.
- 7.2 Trees have finite energy reserves, developed each year throughout the growing season, which are utilised for biological processes such as growth and defence against pests or diseases throughout the following year.
- 7.3 Any development in proximity to trees has the potential to cause harm to those trees unless control measures are identified and acted upon; as such it is essential to consider the relationship between the proposed development and the retained trees to identify what precautions are necessary, proportionate and appropriate.
- 7.4 Development has the potential to impact upon the above ground as well as below ground parts of trees.
- 7.5 Whilst some damage that can occur, such as physical damage to the trees stems and branches from machinery movements, is clearly visible the impact from other aspects of work common on development sites which can have a significant effect upon the continued health of trees are not always immediately evident.
- 7.6 Damage that is not immediately evident, but which can cause long term harm to retained trees includes things such as damage to the soil structure by compaction causing root damage and levels changes altering the water table and affecting moisture availability.
- 7.7 To minimise the potential for harm to occur to retained trees all works should be carried out with regard to the tree protection measures detailed within this report.
- 7.8 In general, it can be seen that, by adopting appropriate methods of working, precautionary and protective measures, significant harm to retained trees can be avoided.
- 7.9 In particular, the establishment of a **Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ)** by erection of tree protection fencing will minimise the potential for harm to occur to retained trees.



Brief Description of Proposed Development

7.10 The development proposals are for a 1235sqm GFA food store at Cotefield Business Park, with associated access, car parking, delivery area and landscaping.

Tree Removal

- 7.11 It will be necessary to remove the majority of trees recorded during the survey in order to implement the proposals for the site. This comprises ten Category B individual trees (T1, T5, T6, T9, T10, T12, T16-18 and T23) and five Category C individual trees (T2, T3, T22, T24 and T25). It will also be necessary to remove three Category B groups of trees consisting of G1, G3 and G4.
- 7.12 Some sections of existing scrub / hedging also to be removed are two small sections of S2 and the entirety of S3.
- 7.13 The losses to existing trees are clearly high, however there is scope to replant both within the new development and within the wider site. Due to the numbers involved, it is recommended that replanting in order to compensate the loss be undertaken.
- 7.14 All removals and retentions are shown on the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710).

Root Protection Areas

- 7.15 Root Protection Areas (RPAs) for each tree surveyed have been determined in accordance with BS5837:2012 Section 4.6 Root Protection Area in the Standard and a schedule of RPAs is attached to this report as Table 2.
- 7.16 Initial RPAs for the trees were plotted onto the Tree Constraints Plan (see drawing 700) and has been used to produce all relevant tree plans in this statement.
- 7.17 In order to accommodate the new food store's loading bay area to the south, a new surface is required which will encroach into the RPA of the Category A T4 (subject to TPO). This area has been subject to parking of passenger coaches in the recent past and beneath the gravel surface it is likely very compacted due to this. However, it is unknown precisely what the conditions are like and therefore a precautionary approach must be adopted with regard to surfacing this area. Any excavation could cause significant damage to roots of a protected tree of value and therefore this will not be undertaken within the RPA.
- 7.18 The preparatory removal of any existing surface must be carried out by hand within the RPA or under Arboricultural Watching Brief if to be done using a small excavator. This will help to ensure the protection of any underlying roots which may be present and once the surface is removed, it must be either fenced off temporarily until time to resurface or a propriety ground protection system must be implemented to cover any exposed areas within the RPA of T4. If a mechanical excavator



is to be used under Watching Brief, this must be carried out ensuring the machinery is positioned always outside the RPA, pulling back the surface to the area outside it.

- 7.19 The surfacing in this area must be of a no-dig construction, i.e. with no scraping or cutting of existing levels and built on top of the soil. This will need to be built using a cellular confinement system (such as Geosynthetics CellWeb) which will have a granular infill (no fines) and finished with a permeable wearing course. This will allow moisture and gas to exchange between the soils and the surface and the load distributing technology will prevent compaction of underlying soils. The gauge of the cellular system will need to be sufficient to withstand the loads which it will be subject to and a specialist Engineer will need to assess the specification.
- 7.20 The area of no-dig surfacing and the CEZ (Construction Exclusion Zone) have been marked on the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710). The CEZ can be achieved using Heras style fence panels.

Existing Canopy Spreads

- 7.21 Where the RPAs for retained trees do not extend to the edge of existing canopy spreads, it is possible that those parts of a tree which extend beyond the CEZ may sustain damage during construction.
- 7.22 In this instance, the majority of trees are to be removed to facilitate the development proposals and there is therefore little likelihood of any significant tree pruning to be necessary. However, the CEZ (see drawing 710) is required to be erected around much of the eastern edge of the site and there may be some light pruning or cutting back of hedges / scrub to clear space to accommodate this.

Services and Utilities

- 7.23 RPS have not been provided with drawings pertaining to the routing of services / utilities, however given the space created by the removal of trees, there should be no reason why there would be a need to encroach on RPA. This particularly applies to the TPO tree T4 which must not have any excavation within the RPA.
- 7.24 General guidance for such works can also be found in NJUG Volume 4 *Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees.* (National Joint Utilities Group 2007).

Contractor Access / Egress

7.25 The site will be accessed / egressed by contractors during the construction phase via the existing route into the site. This route is already established and therefore will not result in impacts to any retained trees.



Planning of Site Operations

- 7.26 Planning of site operations will take sufficient account of trees to ensure that no access and movement of material into and around the site impact on trees. Physical damage can result if this is not considered. Consequently, any movement of plant or materials in proximity to trees will be conducted under the supervision of a banksman, to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is always maintained.
- 7.27 All materials or fluids will not be stored within or near the RPA of retained trees, particularly those whose accidental spillage would cause contamination and damage to a tree. Fluids must be handled well away from the outer edge of the RPA of trees.
- 7.28 Correct planning of access routes and storage areas prior to start on site will ensure no impacts from these activities will occur. It is considered that there should be ample space away from trees for purposes of storage.



8 PRE-DEVELOPMENT WORKS

Tree Removal

- 8.1 Ten Category B individual trees (T1, T5, T6, T9, T10, T12, T16-18 and T23), five Category C individual trees (T2, T3, T22, T24 and T25) and three Category B groups of trees consisting of G1, G3 and G4 will require removal.
- 8.2 Sections of existing scrub / hedging also to be removed are two small sections of S2 and the entirety of S3.

Predevelopment Tree Pruning Works

- 8.3 In the unlikely event that any pruning works be required, this should be assessed and undertaken prior to commencement of the proposed development. No pruning of TPO trees will be carried out without the express permission of Cherwell District Council.
- 8.4 Any pruning works of significance should be notified to the Arboricultural Consultant prior to their undertaking so as to assess their potential impact.

Standard of Work

- 8.5 All tree works should be carried out in accordance with BS3998:2010 *Tree Work Recommendations* and latest arboricultural best practice.
- 8.6 All tree work should be carried out by suitably qualified, competent and insured arboricultural contractors.
- 8.7 All green and woody waste generated by the tree works shall be removed from site and disposed of in an environmentally sustainable manner.

Timing of Works

- 8.8 All tree works shall be completed prior to commencement of any construction works on the site.
- 8.9 All works shall be timed to have regard to the phenological cycles of protected species that are associated with trees, notably birds and bats.

Tree Protection Barriers

8.10 All tree protection fencing should be erected to its final position during the pre-development periods of construction. Protective fencing shall be erected as shown on the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710). To ensure successful tree protection during this process, all operatives should be



briefed on the need to pay regard the existing trees and all operations adjacent to trees be properly supervised. This will help ensure the works do not affect adversely the trees.

- 8.11 Once the protective barriers are in place they must remain in situ throughout the course of the development until the completion of all building works.
- 8.12 Copies of the Tree Protection Plans shall be placed in the site office for reference by all site staff.
- 8.13 The protective fencing barrier is to be constructed in accordance with the specification detailed at Appendix D.
- 8.14 Signs detailing the purpose of the protective fencing shall be attached to the fencing at 10m intervals. Such signs should be weatherproof and shall be substantially in the form of the specimen provided at Appendix E. Signs must be replaced as necessary should they be removed or become illegible.
- 8.15 Following erection of the protective fencing and prior to commencement of the development it is recommended that an inspection of the site, by either the Council's Tree Officer or the Arboricultural Consultant, is arranged to confirm fencing has been installed in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710) and any relevant conditions that may be attached to a grant of planning consent for the development.



9 CONSTRUCTION WORKS

Construction Exclusion Zone

- 9.1 The CEZ as defined by the protective fence line shall be regarded as sacrosanct, and the protective fencing shall not be moved or taken down at any time.
- 9.2 Within the CEZ there must be no mechanical digging or scraping, no alteration to existing ground levels including soil stripping, no earthworks, no handling or discharge of any chemical substance, concrete washings or of any fuels.
- 9.3 Furthermore, vehicular or pedestrian access and the storage of any materials is prohibited within the CEZ.
- 9.4 Additionally, no materials that may contaminate the soil such as concrete mixings, diesel oil and vehicle washings shall be discharged within 10m of the stem of any tree and no fires shall be lit within 10m of the maximum extent of a trees crown.

Site Compounds and Materials Stores

- 9.5 Activities related to the establishment of a temporary site compound have the potential to impact upon retained trees by various means. In particular the storage and mixing of chemicals and materials such as concrete can have a damaging effect on tree health if precautions are not taken.
- 9.6 The offices, parking of site and contractor vehicles, along with secure storage will be provided in an area away from retained trees and these areas will be directly controlled by the Site Manager who will seek advice from the site Landscape Manager before allocating the area for these purposes.

Monitoring

- 9.7 Following erection of the protective fencing and prior to commencement of the construction phase an inspection of the site, by either the Council's Tree Officer or the Arboricultural Consultant, should be arranged to confirm fencing has been installed in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710) and any relevant conditions that may be attached to a grant of planning consent for the development.
- 9.8 Further monitoring visits should be carried out during implementation of the works on site, ideally on at least a monthly basis to ensure all planning conditions are being implemented.



Reporting

- 9.9 If deemed a requirement, the Site Manager will be responsible for providing copies of the tree protection inspection reports to the Council and for notifying the Council of any issues with the trees should they occur.
- 9.10 During the construction phase of the development the Site Manager will be responsible for liaising with the Council Tree Officer on all arboricultural issues.
- 9.11 Should any arboricultural issues become apparent during the works the site manager should immediately contact the appointed Arboricultural Consultant or the Council's Tree Officer for advice upon how to proceed.



10 CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1 A tree survey and arboricultural assessment has been carried out at Cotefield Farm, Bodicote to consider any impacts the proposed construction of a food store development may have on trees.
- 10.2 The development proposals are for a 1235sqm GFA food store at Cotefield Business Park, with associated access, car parking, delivery area and landscaping.
- 10.1 A total of 25 individual trees were surveyed during the visit. One of these was Category A (high quality), 13 were classed as Category B (moderate quality), ten as Category C (low quality or immature) and one Category U (poor quality or dead). Four groups of trees were also recorded, these comprised one Category A and three Category B.
- 10.2 Tree T4 and group G2 are covered by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO No.12/1994).
- 10.3 It will be necessary to remove the majority of trees recorded during the survey in order to implement the proposals for the site. This comprises ten Category B individual trees (T1, T5, T6, T9, T10, T12, T16-18 and T23) and five Category C individual trees (T2, T3, T22, T24 and T25). It will also be necessary to remove three Category B groups of trees consisting of G1, G3 and G4. Compensatory planting will be undertaken within the development, but other opportunities could be explored for planting within the wider site if required.
- 10.4 The Tree Protection Plan (see drawing 710) has been produced to provide guidance on the protection of the trees during the development and to indicate where tree protection fencing should be located to form the Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ).
- 10.5 The tree T4 is the most significant constraint to the development due to its RPA extending to within close proximity of the rear loading bay area of the food store. This area is currently largely gravelled and the removal of this will need to be carried out with care, either by hand or under Arboricultural Watching Brief using machinery, where within the RPA.
- 10.6 The new surface proposed within the RPA of T4 will be constructed using a no-dig cellular confinement system with granular infill and permeable wearing course. This will mean that no excavation will be undertaken within the RPA of T4 and this system will prevent compaction and allow moisture and gas exchange between soil and surface.
- 10.7 To ensure successful tree protection during this process all operatives should be briefed on the need to pay regard the existing trees and all operations adjacent to trees be properly supervised.



- 10.8 Guidelines contained within BS5837:2012 *Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction* should be followed when dealing with trees in these situations. Working methods and specifications should be followed to limit potential damage to trees throughout the works proposed.
- 10.9 If any arboricultural issues relating from works being carried out occurs, then they should be reported to the main contractor immediately.



Tables

Table 1: Tree Survey DataTable 2: Tree Group ScheduleTable 3: Shrubs / Hedge Schedule

Key to Inspection Report Form

Species	Genus and variety
Height	Measured Clinometer Reading or Estimated Height in Metres
Girth (dbh @ 1.5m)	Diameter measured in cms, or estimated, Where multi stemmed below 1.5m the diameter is taken as that just above the root flare
Spread (m)	Canopy height estimated in metres above ground level
Canopy height (m)	Crown Spread, radius estimated in metres
Physiological Condition	Good, Fair, Poor, Dead
Age Class	Y – Young, SM – Semi mature, EM – Early Mature, M – Mature,
	OM - Over mature, V – Veteran
Useful Life Expectancy	<10, 10-20, 20-40, 40+
(years)	
BS Categorization	See Cascade Appendices 2

Table 1: Tree Data Schedule

Tree	Species	Diameter	Diameter	Height	C	rown	Sprea	ad	Crown	n First Bra	Branch	Age	Vigour	Life	Structural Condition/Comments	BS5837
No.		(mm)*		N	S	Е	w	Height	Branch Direction	Height above Ground	Class		Expectancy		Category	
1	Fraxinus excelsior	180	8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	North	2.5	SM	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B1	
														Stem wound on south side almost completely occluded.		
2	Sorbus aucuparia	210	6	2.5	2.5	3	2.5	1.5	North	0.5	SM	Good	10-20	Stem wounds.Pruning wounds to stem.	C1	
														Stem wound on south side is extensive but is in process of occluding.		
3	Fraxinus excelsior	180	8	2.5	2.5	1.5	3.0	3.0	South	2.5	SM	Good	20-40	Trifurcated stem formed at 2.5 metres.Restricted inspection due to vegetation. Awkward form.	C1	
4	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpureum'	1220	18	8.0	8.0	6.5	9.0	3.0	South	2.5	М	Good	40+	Deadwood in the crown of minor extent.Restricted inspection due to	A1	
														Excellent quality specimen with little past management. TPO'd.		
5	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpureum'	210	6	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	1.0	East	1.0	SM	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B1	
														Growing within car park boundary hedge. Minor stem wound.		
6	Tilia cordata	310	8	3	3	3.0	3.0	1.5	East	2	SM	Good	40+	Included branch union in the crown.	B1	
														Growing within car park boundary hedge.		
7	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpureum'	110	7	2.5	2	2.5	3	2	West	2	Y	Good	20-40		C2	
														Will struggle in future due to headroom.		
8	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpureum'	120	7	2.5	2.0	2	2	1.5	SW	1.5	Y	Good	20-40		C2	
														Will struggle in future due to headroom.		
9	Castanea sativa	230	8	3	3	3	3	2.5	South	2	SM	Good	40+	Deadwood in the crown of minor extent.	B2	
40		000	0		o =		0.5		0 11			.	00.40		54	
10	Quercus robur	220	9	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	South	2.0	SM	Good	20-40	3.0 metres.Hard surface located in RPA.	В1	
11	Quercus robur	250	9	3.0	2.5	2.0	2	3	NW	3	SM	Good	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Deadwood in the crown of minor extent.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	
12	Quercus robur	220	9	3.5	3	3	3	3	South	3	SM	Good	20-40	Epicormics growth on crown.Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	
13	Quercus robur	220	5	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	SE	2.5	SM	Fair	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	
14	Quercus robur	220	3	1	1	1	1	0.0	South	0.0	SM	Poor	<10	Epicormics growth on stem.Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Crown previously topped at 2.0 metres.Tree has no long term potential.	U	
15	Quercus robur	180	7	2	2	2	2	2	NW	1.5	SM	Good	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	

* Where the tree is multi-stemmed the conventions within BS5837:2012 are applied



Tree	Species	Diameter	Height	С	rown Sp	read	Crown	First	Branch	Age	Vigour	Life	Structural Condition/Comments	BS5837	
No.		(mm)*	(mm)^		N	S I	E W	Height	Branch Direction	Height above Ground	Class		Expectancy		Category
16	Quercus robur	200	6	2.5	1.5 3	2.5	2.5	South	2.5	SM	Good	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem.Hard surface located in RPA. Pruning stub.	B1	
17	Quercus robur	220	7	3	2.5 3	.0 3	2	NW	2	SM	Good	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	
18	Quercus robur	250	8	3.0	33	.0 3.0	2.5	North	2.5	SM	Good	20-40	Pruning wounds to stem and crown.Hard surface located in RPA.	B1	
19	Quercus robur	150	7	2	22	2	3	South	3.0	Y	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation. Only crown visible.	C2	
20	Quercus robur	140	6	2.0	2.0 2	.0 2.0	4	SW	3	Y	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation. Only crown visible.	C2	
21	Quercus robur	150	7	2.0	2.0 2	.5 2.0	3	SW	3	Y	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation. Only crown visible.	C2	
22	Quercus robur	150	4	2	23	3	0.5	SE	1.5	Y	Fair	10-20	Pruning wounds to stem.General poor form to tree.	C1	
23	Quercus robur	210	10	3	2.5 3	.0 3.0	3	East	2.5	SM	Good	40+	Pruning wounds to stem.	B1	
24	Aesculus hippocastanum	370	10	3.5	3.5 4	3.5	2	East	2	EM	Good	10-20	Pruning wounds to stem and crown.	C1	
													Large stem wound at 1m on south side from included union failure. Occluding but large area of exposed heartwood to seal.		
25	Quercus robur	110	5	2	1.5 1	.0 1.5	2	South	2.5	EM	Good	10-20		C1	
													Large pruning wound on stem.		



Table 2: Group Data Schedule

Group No.	Species	Min/Ma Diamet (mm)	ax Average er Height (m)	Average) Crown Spread	Ave. Crown Height	Max. Age Class	Vigour	Life Expectancy	Structural Condition/Comments	BS5837 Category
G1	Fraxinus excelsior	16	18 8	2.5	3	SM	Good	20-40	Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B2
									Growing within boundary hedge.	
G2	Acer pseudoplatanus	45 6	65 19	6	3	М	Good	40+	Deadwood present of minor extent,Branch dieback of minor extent, Restricted inspection due to ivy.	A2
	Quercus robur Quercus robur Fraxinus excelsior									
G3	Betula pendula	10 2	20 10	3	3	SM	Good	20-40	Deadwood present of minor extent, Pruning wounds present. Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B2
	Alnus glutinosa								Also includes rowan, larch and guelder rose.	
	Salix caprea Crataegus monogyna Acer campestre									
G5	Quercus robur	150 2	50 12	4	2.5	SM	Good	20-40	Deadwood present of minor extent, Pruning wounds present. Off site tree group. Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B2
	Fraxinus excelsior Carpinus betulus									
G4	Salix caprea	10 2	20 10	3.5	3	SM	Good	20-40	Deadwood present of minor extent, Pruning wounds present. Restricted inspection due to vegetation.	B2
	Betula pendula Quercus robur									
	Larix decidua Cornus sp.									



Table 3: Shrubs / Hedge / Saplings

Section No.	Species	Height (m)	Comments
S1	Fagus sylvatica	3	Beech hedge. Frequently trimmed.
S2	Acer campestre	3.0	Boundary hedge. Frequently trimmed.
S3	Lonicera pileata	1.5	Honeysuckle hedge. Frequently trimmed.





Figures

JSL3972_700: TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN

JSL3972_710: TREE PROTECTION PLAN



© <u>N</u> 1. 2. 3.	2020 RPS Group otes This drawing ha appointment wit appointment. Rf by its client and If received elect Only written dim Where applicab All rights reserv	b the scient a PS accepts i only for the ronically it is hensions sho le Ordnance ed. Licence	pared in acc and is subje no liability purposes f s the recipie buld be use Survey (c number 01	cordance wit ct to the terr or any use c or which it w ents respons d.) Crown Cop 00031673	h the ns an of this /as pr ibility oyrigh	scope d condi docum epared to print t 2020	of RPS itions o lent oth and pr t to cori	's f that er than ovided. rect scale
	Key							
		Tree wit Canopy quality c	h numbe spread a category	ered refere and BS583 as shown	ence. 37:20 belo	012 tr ow.	ee	
	G1	Vegetat Average tree qua	ion group e canopy ality cates	o with num extent an gory as sh	nbere d BS lown	ed ref 65837 belov	erenc :2012 v.	e.
	<u> /\$//</u>)	Scrub/H referenc	ledge/Sh ce.	rubs/Sapl	ings	with r	numbe	ered
	BS 5837:2012	2 Tree Qu	iality Cat tegory A	egories - ⁻ - High qu	Table ality	e 1		
		Ca	tegory B	- Low qua	ality	anty		
		Boot pro	tegory U	- Unsuita area (RPA	ble f	or rete	ention ed in	
		accorda	ince with	Section 4	.6 - I d Es	BS58	37:20 ⁻	12
		as a Di	splacem	ent of Cu	rrent	t Can	ору	
Om	5837:2012 • Due to the I removal sho although no	- 'Trees in R legal protect ould not take of restricted t	elation to d ion afforde e place dur to, March -	lesign, demo d to breeding ing the bird r August inclu	alition g bird nestin usive.	and cc s veget g perio	d; gene	N 25m
SC.	ALE 1:250							
Rev	Description					Ву	СВ	Date
	Lakesbury Ho Hampshire So T: 02380 810	Duse, Hiltir O53 5SS 0 440 E: r	ngbury Ro	MAKING COMPLE EASY	EX dlers	Ford,		
	Client W	/ILL BF	RATT					
	Project C	OTEFI	ELD F	arm, e	301	DIC	OTE	
	Title T I	REE C	ONST	RAINT	S P	'LAN	١	
	Status FOR PLANN	NING	Drawn I TF	Зу		PM/C BW	hecke	d by
	Job Ref JSL3972		Scale @ 1:250) A1		Date (FEB 2	Create 21	ed
	RPS Drawing 700) / Figure N	Number			Rev -		

rpsgroup.com



 (c) 2020 RPS Group <u>Notes</u> 1. This drawing has been prepared in accordance with the scope of RPS's appointment with its client and is subject to the terms and conditions of that appointment. RPS accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes for which it was prepared and provided. 2. If received electronically it is the recipients responsibility to print to correct scale. Only written dimensions should be used. 3. Where applicable Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2020 All rights reserved. Licence number 0100031673
<image/> <section-header></section-header>
0m 5 10 15 20 25m SCALE 1:250
RevDescriptionByCBDateImage: CBDateImage: CBDateImage: CBDateImage: CBDateImage: CBImage: CB
Client WILL BRATT Project COTEFIELD FARM, BODICOTE
Title TREE PROTECTION PLAN
StatusDrawn ByPM/Checked byFOR PLANNINGTFBW
Job RefScale @ A1Date CreatedJSL39721:250FEB 21
RPS Drawing / Figure Number Rev 710 -
rpsgroup.com



Appendix A

Methodology

General

Trees were inspected from ground level during a site visit. All data was recorded electronically within a ESRI ArcPad project and then upon return to the office it was imported into an MS Access database. Individual tree numbers and locations were plotted by eye on to a drawing at the time of the survey. Tree positions were then related to a Topographical survey of the site provided, where not shown on the topographical survey tree positions have been plotted by eye only and require confirmation. Colour coded versions of the drawings form part of this report (see drawing 700).

The data recorded includes:

- Height data gathered using a Suunto optical clinometer PM 5/1520. Where access to the tree
 was not possible the Heights were estimated.
- Diameter measurements taken at 1.5 metres above ground level (or where multiple stems exist complying with requirements for BS5837).
- Tree crown spread estimated measurement of the four cardinal points to provide information to be used with the arboricultural constraints plan
- Tree Crown Clearance crown height above ground level
- Tree Condition judged visually using the guidelines produced in the report. The condition is indicated with the appropriate colour on the map found in the report. (see drawing 700)
- Age class estimated from an examination of the tree in question.

Age Classification

The following classification is employed:

Y - Young: Saplings and young trees under 10 years of age
SM – Semi-Mature: Trees older than 10 years but less than 40% of the life expectancy of their species.



EM – Early-Mature:	Trees between 40% and 70% of the life expectancy of their species.
M - Mature:	Trees between 70% and 100 of the life expectancy of their species.
OM - Overmature:	Trees considered to be beyond the normal life expectancy of their species.
V – Veteran:	Trees that show features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of an individual surviving beyond the typical age range for the species.

Estimated Remaining Contribution in Years

The estimated remaining contribution in years is an estimate based on currently known factors of the possible remaining life of the tree as an asset. Clearly, it is impossible to predict changes in condition which may occur in the future and this reflects what is considered reasonable under existing circumstances. The following classification is employed:

Death or removal is likely within less than 10 years

Death or removal is likely within 10+ years.

Death or removal is likely within 20+ years.

Death or removal is likely beyond 40 years

The estimated remaining contribution in years will be dependent on the interaction of the typical longevity of the species, its current age and condition with prevailing environmental factors. The estimated remaining contribution in years also dependent on future tree management that can extend useful life in some instances.

Tree Condition.

The tree survey assessed the individual condition of all trees identified on the site. The assessment of condition is based on a visual and professional view.

The categories considered for Physiological Condition are good, fair, poor and dead.

Structural Condition is also commented on and this will include such items of presence of decay and physical defects.

Trees are living organisms and their condition can change rapidly in response to environmental variables. Condition remarks refer to the date of survey and cannot be assumed to remain unchanged. While there is no such thing as a safe tree, regular inspection of trees is recommended to reduce the foreseeable risks associated with trees. There is currently no published guidance from the UK insurance industry on the frequency of tree inspections. In the



German courts a bi-annual routine inspection is normally expected for older street trees, giving an indication of the rapidity of change in condition that can occur.

Preliminary Management Recommendations

Recommendations are given where it is felt by the arborist that further investigations are required due to suspected defects and work recommendations for pre-construction tree work.

Tree Categorisation Using BS 5837 Methodology

The trees surveyed were categorised using the method explained in BS5837:2012. This method categorizes individual trees, groups and woodlands in a systematic way. Each tree, group or woodland is identified on an attached plan.

Groups are identified as those trees forming a single arboricultural feature with trees that provide companion shelter, are avenues or screens or cultural.

Initially the surveyor will determine if the tree should be regarded as a U category tree. U category trees are those that are low value trees that have little future due to physiological and structural condition.

Other trees are graded A, B or C. The initial category should reflex the trees value in making an important contribution to the amenity of the site over a period of time. The higher the category the longer the perceived time period.

A sub category is included 1, 2 or 3. This sub category reflects the type of value the surveyor feels the tree presents in regards its value to 1 – arboricultural, 2 – landscape, 3 – cultural or conservation.

The cascade chart used is included as Appendix C of this report.



Appendix B

The Tree Constraints Plan

The Tree Constraints Plan (see drawing 700) is designed to show the influence that the trees have upon the site by virtue of their size and position. The plan seeks to act as a design tool that shows both the above and below ground constraints presented by the trees.

The information provided within this section of the report is to assist in the interpretation of the Tree Constraints Plan and aims to ensure that those trees selected for retention can be successfully integrated within the proposed development.

It should be noted that some of the tree positions shown on the plan have been plotted by eye to an Ordnance Survey base map and as such should be considered to be of a provisional nature.

Below Ground Constraints

Root Protection Areas

Root Protection Areas for each tree and group of trees surveyed have been determined in accordance with BS5837:2012 and a schedule of Root Protection Areas is attached to this report as Table 2.

As shown below Root Protection Areas (RPA's) for the trees, where no significant constraints to root development are considered to be present, have been plotted onto the Tree Constraints Plan as circles, with the tree located centrally, extending to encompass the area of ground, and thus the rootable soil volume, required for protection.



Where tree root spread is considered to have been influenced by site conditions the trees RPA's have been plotted to the Tree Constraints Plan as a polygon. The plotted polygon is of the same area as it would be as a circle and its shape reflects an arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution.



An example of a polygonal RPA, considered appropriate due to the presence of a building in close proximity to a tree, is shown below.



Where possible all development, including new hard landscaping, shall be situated outside of the retained trees designated Root Protection Areas.

Above Ground Constraints

Existing Canopy Spreads

The existing canopy spreads of the trees on site are shown on the Tree Constraints Plan as depicted below.



The current spread of the tree is a constraint due to its dominance, size and movement in strong winds.

It will typically be unacceptable to design any built development within the current spread of a tree.

Where built development is proposed in close proximity to existing trees consideration should be given to the amount of working space required to allow its construction.



Future Tree Growth

Some of the trees surveyed are not yet mature and they have the potential for future growth. Where these are to be retained consideration to their ultimate crown spread should be given as future branch growth may result in interference with proposed development, damage to branches and the need for a tree pruning regime.

To facilitate assessment of future tree growth maximum expected canopy spreads have been marked on the Tree Constraints Plan (see drawing 700) as shown below.



The area of mature tree spread is estimated by the arboriculturist and is their best judgement of mature crown spread based on experience and with regard to the current tree growth observed on the site.

Within the area of maximum branch spread construction activities should be restricted for the long-term health and vigour of the trees.

In this respect it is considered that within the area of maximum branch the construction of utility buildings, such as single storey garages or sheds and the installation of hard surfaces would generally be an appropriate form of construction, however should car parking be proposed beneath the ultimate spread of trees the likelihood of fruit fall, leaf litter or sap exudate causing a nuisance must be considered.

In addition it is important to consider the likelihood of damage to trees or structures that may be caused by continuous whipping of branches in windy conditions. In such circumstances branches may have to be repeatedly cut back which will introduce wounds in the tree and may spoil its form or shape. In general terms trees should not be retained upon the basis that their ultimate branch spread can be significantly controlled by periodic pruning.

Canopy Height / Clearance

The height and growth direction of the lowest branch of each tree is recorded in the Tree Data Schedule contained within this report as Table 1. Additionally the vertical clearance of the trees canopy above ground level is recorded within the Tree Data Schedule.

The two figures can be used to inform the extent to which a trees crown may be at risk of damage during development as a result of vehicular or plant movements within the site and to assess the need for additional protective measures to be implemented to protect low branches.



In particular it should also be noted that where the Root Protection Areas for retained trees do not extend to the edge of existing canopy spreads it is possible that those parts of the trees extending beyond the RPA fencing may sustain damage during construction.

Where this occurs there are two primary options available to manage and minimise the potential for damage to tree canopies to occur during development and these may be used singularly or in combination.

The first option is to create a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ), by the erection of protective fencing, around the full extent of the trees. The second is to undertake pre-development pruning works to the trees to reduce the potential for branch damage to occur.



Appendix C

BS5837 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment



REPORT

Table 1	Cascade chart for tree quality assessment			
Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where app	ropriate)		Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see N	lote)			
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	 Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see</i> 4.5.7. 			Dark Red
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Light Green
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	Mid Blue
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	Grey

Appendix D

Tree Protection Barriers

Root Protection Area Barrier Details

Protective Barrier Specifications

Since trees are living organisms which interact with their immediate environment any changes made to their surroundings may have a bearing on that trees future. Developing a site will undoubtedly place any trees within close proximity under some level of stress, which could predispose them to infection. The aim of this method statement is to limit the amount of stress induced by introducing protection measures.

The most effective way of offering protection is by erecting protective barriers set at a distance from the tree stem using the methods given within BS 5837: 2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction. Barriers should be braced and constructed to resist impacts; see Diagrams 1 & 2 below for barrier specifications. Barriers can be of an alternative specification to that within the BS5837:2012 provided it is approved by the Local Planning Authority Tree Officer.

Barriers should be erected before any works commence on site with the exception of recommended tree work. Areas of retained and future structure planting should be similarly protected.

All personnel should be made aware of the protected areas and instructed to keep them free of materials, waste and excess soil. Soil disturbance should be prohibited and travel of any kind, including foot traffic should also be excluded within the root protection area (RPA) unless previously agreed and adequate ground protection has been installed. Where foot traffic is agreed within the RPA, single thickness scaffold boards laid over a compressible material on a geotextile, or supported by scaffold should suffice. Where vehicular access through the RPA is agreed an engineer should be consulted to design adequate ground protection methods.

Suggested Barrier Specification (as per BS5837: 2012)

Diagram 1





Diagram 2.



Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems

Appendix E

Construction Exclusion Signage – Example



Appendix F

Arboricultural Glossary

Abiotic Factors - Non-living factors of the environment, including temperature & wind.

- **Age-class** A general classification of the tree into either young, semi-mature/maturing, mature, overmature, or senescent.
- **Apical Bud/Shoot** The apical bud, also known as the leading shoot, is responsible for shoot extension and is dominant.
- Apical Dominance A singular, leading shoot remains dominant.
- Arboreal In connection with, or in relation to, trees.
- **Arboriculturist** Person who has, through relevant education, training and experience, gained recognised qualifications and expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.
- Arboricultural Implications Assessment (AIA) Study, undertaken by an arboriculturist, to identify, evaluate and possibly mitigate the extent of direct and indirect impacts on existing trees that may arise as a result of the implementation of any site layout proposal.
- Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) Methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that has the potential to result in the loss of or damage to a tree. Note The AMS is likely to include details of an on-site tree protection monitoring regime.
- Biotic factors Living factors. For example, animals and pathogens.
- **Bottle Butt** Term used to describe shape of stem base, usually associated with an internal defect refer to 'Reaction Wood' below.
- **Branch union/junction** The point at which a branch joins a larger stem. Can be a point of weakness, especially in certain species.
- **Cambium** A lateral meristem (see below) in vascular plants located just beneath the bark responsible for secondary growth, e.g. production of annual growth rings.
- **Canker** A clearly defined area of dead and sunken or malformed bark, caused by bacteria or fungi. Can have a bearing on structural integrity of infected limb(s) depending on size and location.
- **Chlorosis/Chlorotic** Abnormal yellow or yellow-green coloration of usually green leaves. Essentially a reduction of chlorophyll levels often as a result disease or nutrient deficiency.
- **Co-dominant stems** A growth characteristic, where two or more stems of similar size grow from the same point. Can create an inherent weakness.

- **Compaction** The compressing & hardening of soil around tree root systems, due to vehicular/pedestrian use etc. Loss of pore space between soil granules limits water movement and gaseous exchange, and inhibits root growth.
- **Competent person** Person who has training and experience relevant to the matter being addressed and an understanding of the requirements of the particular task being approached

Note 1 A competent person understands the hazards and the methods to be implemented to eliminate or reduce the risks that can arise. For example, when on site, a competent person is able to recognise at all times whether it is safe to proceed.

Note 2 A competent person is able to advise on the best means by which the recommendations of this British Standard may be implemented.

- **Condition** Assessment based on a visual and professional view giving consideration to many factors such as tree health, structural integrity and suitability of its position.
- **Construction Exclusion Zone** Area based on the RPA (in m²), identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected by development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.
- **Coppice** The method of managing trees by cutting the stems at between 1.0 inch and 1.0 foot from the ground level on a regular cycle, the cut stumps of the trees or shrubs are allowed to re-grow many new stems.
- **Crown spread** Gives distances between extreme limits of the crown and the stem, usually along the four compass points. Helps to show crown symmetry.
- **Crown Reduction** The removal of branch ends to reduce the extreme limits of a trees branch spread and height.
- Crown Thin The removal of selected branches within the crown to thin the internal branch structure.
- **D.B.H.** 'Diameter at Breast Height', an industry standard to gauge tree stem size and development. Within arboriculture, breast height is taken to be 1.5m above ground level.
- **Dieback** The reduction in crown vigour and extension growth progressing to death of distal parts; often associated with decline.
- **Epicormic/adventitious growth** New growth from dormant buds that can often form tenuous attachments. Although some species readily form such shoots, it can be an indication of stress.
- Feathered Whip Size of tree for planting, usually ranging from 1.25m to 2.5m in height.
- Form A general assessment of the shape and position of the tree within its' environment.
- Frass Debris such as bore dust left by wood boring insects.

- Hanger Term used to describe a branch that has become detached and is being supported by other branches. Can be a hazard to persons and property below.
- **Hazard Beam** After the loss of a distal part, a limb concentrates growth upwards creating adverse end weights that can render the limb susceptible to failure.
- Heavy Standard Size of tree for planting, usually above 3.5m in height.
- **Included bark** Growth characteristic usually caused when two or more stems/branches growing in close proximity 'fuse' together entrapping the bark from when the parts were separate in the middle, creating a structural weakness.
- **Meristem** The undifferentiated plant tissue from which new cells are formed, such as that at the tip of a stem or root.
- **Meristematic Disorder** A growth disorder caused by a disruption of the meristem (see above) from any of a number of biotic factors (see above). Manifests as growths such as 'Witches Brooms' & 'Galls'.
- Necrosis/Necrotic Death of tissues usually characterised by a blackening in colour.
- Occlusion/Occluded Normally used to describe the overgrowth of a wound. Also, immoveable foreign objects in contact with a tree part can become encased or 'occluded' by the tree as it grows incrementally.
- Pathogen An agent that causes disease, especially a living microorganism such as a bacterium or fungus.
- Plasticity index The table used to calibrate the shrinkability of a clay soil.
- Pollard The removal and subsequent regular re-removal of the crown of a tree above animal browsing height. Can be an effective method of controlling the size of trees in urban areas. This is ideally begun in the trees early stages and maintained throughout its life.
- **Reaction wood** Essentially additional wood laid down by the tree to compensate for structural defects such as cavities.
- **Ring barking/Girdling** the removal of bark around the entire circumference of a stem or branch, causing the death of all distal parts.
- **Root Protection Area (RPA)** Layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree, shown in plan form in m².
- Saprophyte An organism which exists on dead plant material.
- Scaffold branches The main structural branches within the crown.
- Services Any above ground and piped and/or ducted underground infrastructure including water main, electricity supply, gas supply, fibre optic utilities, telecommunications cabling, storm and foul water drainage, including temporary storage for run-off, pumping stations, interceptors and other allied buried structures.

- Shrinkable clay Clay soil which alters in volume depending on moisture content. Property sited on shrinkable clay can suffer subsidence damage due to soil desiccation; this can be due to the water uptake of nearby vegetation, including trees.
- Special engineering design of a structure with the physiological requirements of trees as the priority.
- Standard Size of tree for planting, usually ranging from 2m to 3.5m in height.
- **Structure** Man-made object, such as a building, carriageway, path, wall, services, and built and excavated earthworks.
- **Transplant** (1) size of tree for planting, usually ranges from 0.2m to 0.9m in height (2) the relocation of a tree or shrub including a given portion of the root system.
- **Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)** Plan prepared by an arboriculturist for the purposes of layout design showing the RPA and representing the effect that the mature height and spread of retained trees will have on layouts through shade, dominance, etc.
- **Tree protection plan** scale drawing prepared by an arboriculturist showing the finalised layout proposals, tree retention and tree and landscape protection measures detailed within the arboricultural method statement (AMS), which can be shown graphically.
- **U.L.E** 'Useful Life Expectancy' is an estimate based on currently known factors of the possible remaining life of the tree as an asset.
- Veteran tree Tree that, by recognised criteria, shows features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of, but not exclusive to, individuals surviving beyond the typical age range for the species concerned.
- Vigour A general classification, as to the present and future potential growth and development of a tree. A comment regarding the health status of the tree specific to its species.
- Water Demand A generic classification of the water demand of specific species as outlined by the NHBC (National House Building Council).
- Whip Size of tree for planting, usually ranging from 1m to 1.75m in height.

[Name of Appendix]