

# **COUNTY COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL**

**District:** Cherwell

**Application No:** 20/03353/OUT-2

**Proposal:** Outline application for a food store, including access and scale (resubmission of 20/00123/OUT)

**Location:** Land West of Cotefield Business Park Oxford Road Bodicote

**Response date:** 18<sup>th</sup> February 2021

---

This report sets out the officer views of Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) on the above proposal. These are set out by individual service area/technical discipline and include details of any planning conditions or informatives that should be attached in the event that permission is granted and any obligations to be secured by way of a S106 agreement. Where considered appropriate, an overarching strategic commentary is also included. If the local County Council member has provided comments on the application these are provided as a separate attachment.

---

**Application no: 20/03353/OUT-2**

**Location:** Land West of Cotefield Business Park Oxford Road Bodicote

---

## **General Information and Advice**

### **Recommendations for approval contrary to OCC objection:**

IF within this response an OCC officer has raised an objection but the Local Planning Authority are still minded to recommend approval, OCC would be grateful for notification (via [planningconsultations@oxfordshire.gov.uk](mailto:planningconsultations@oxfordshire.gov.uk)) as to why material consideration outweighs OCC's objections, and given an opportunity to make further representations.

### **Outline applications and contributions**

The number and type of dwellings and/or the floor space may be set by the developer at the time of application, or if not stated in the application, a policy compliant mix will be used for assessment of the impact and mitigation in the form of s106 contributions. These are set out on the first page of this response.

In the case of outline applications, once the unit mix/floor space is confirmed by the developer a matrix (if appropriate) will be applied to assess any increase in contributions payable. The matrix will be based on an assumed policy compliant mix as if not agreed during the s106 negotiations.

Where unit mix is established prior to commencement of development, the matrix sum can be fixed based on the supplied mix (with scope for higher contribution if there is a revised reserved matters approval).

### **Where a S106/Planning Obligation is required:**

- **Index Linked** – in order to maintain the real value of s106 contributions, contributions will be index linked. Base values and the index to be applied are set out in the Schedules to this response.
- **Administration and Monitoring Fee - TBC**  
This is an estimate of the amount required to cover the extra monitoring and administration associated with the S106 agreement. The final amount will be based on the OCC's scale of fees and will be adjusted to take account of the number of obligations and the complexity of the S106 agreement.
- **OCC Legal Fees** The applicant will be required to pay OCC's legal fees in relation to legal agreements. Please note the fees apply whether an s106 agreement is completed or not.

**Security of payment for deferred contributions** - Applicants should be aware that an approved bond will be required to secure a payment where a S106 contribution is to be paid post implementation and

- the contribution amounts to 25% or more (including anticipated indexation) of the cost of the project it is towards and that project cost £7.5m or more;
- the developer is direct delivering an item of infrastructure costing £7.5m or more;
- where aggregate contributions towards bus services exceeds £1m (including anticipated indexation).

The County Infrastructure Funding Team can provide the full policy and advice, on request.

**Application no: 20/03353/OUT-2**

**Location:** Land West of Cotefield Business Park Oxford Road Bodicote

---

## **Drainage**

### **Recommendation:**

Objection

### **Key issues:**

Applicant has stated there's an enclosed updated drainage layout showing location of soakaways, sub-base of the car park and more details of the drainage system. This drawing is nowhere to be found.

The applicant's proposal includes proposed car parking spaces, therefore reducing the water quality being discharged. Water Quality standards must be met. Proposed development needs a water quality assessment in accordance with Section 4 and Section 26 of SuDS Manual.

Proposed development must meet local standards, L19, "At least one surface feature should be deployed within the drainage system for water quality purposes, or more features for runoff which may contain higher levels of pollutants in accordance with the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753. Only if surface features are demonstrated as not viable, then approved proprietary engineered pollution control features such as vortex separators, serviceable/ replaceable filter screens, or pollution interceptors may be used"

Proposed permeable paving solution must be proven to be a good measure of water quality measure by using water quality assessment in accordance with CIRIA SuDS Manual.

**Officer's Name: Sujeenthan Jeevarangan**

**Officer's Title: LLFA Planning Engineer**

**Date: 17<sup>th</sup> February 2021**

---