Comment for planning application 20/02815/F

Application Number	20/02915/5
Location	
	The Lion Main Street Wendlebury OX25 2PW
Proposal	Replacement kitchen extraction system including new intake grille
Case Officer	Gemma Magnuson
Organisation	
Name	Mani Bartlett & Sue Clarkson
Address	Concord,Main Street,Wendlebury,Bicester,OX25 2PJ
Type of Comment	Comment
Туре	neighbour
Comments Received Date	In our opinion, this application is flawed because immediately surrounding properties have not been officially consulted as per the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (As Amended) Neighbour Notification. This is despite the fact that we have had previous communications over a number of years with Cherwell District Councils Principal Planning Enforcement Officer, Heather Nestbitt and Environmental Protection Officer, Neil Whitton and the current extraction and ventilation system on our property and we would ask that consideration be given to wider consultation beyond the current neighbour notification publicity list. This will ensure that all relevant views of actual neighbouring properties are considered in line with planning regulations. Our property is completely exposed to the existing and proposed set up so it is disappointing that we have not been given a voice on this matter. We would however like to add the following comments as an immediate neighbour and hope these are given the same value as the neighbour notification list. We have suffered great distress for a number of years because of the existing extraction and ventilation system and we have been unable to make full use of our property causing untold stress on our lives for far too long. This is primarily due to the unbearable noise along with smell. This has rendered our garden unusable; the noise can also be heard inside our property. All these factors are extremely significant during busy periods and the summer months when clearly both extraction and ventilation are turned up to maximum. We are concerned that this planning proposal might not fully resolve these extremely significant nuisances and will primarily focus on the visual impact for conservation at the detriment of neighbouring properties. Having read the planning application, we understand an alternative option was considered and subsequently dismissed for reasons relating to cost, time scales, lost revenue, appearance and conservation where all plant could have been housed internally
Received Date	02/02/2021 18:20:44

Attachments