Lynne Baldwin

From: Bob Neville

Sent: 07 December 2020 09:04

To: DC Support

Subject: FW: Application No.: 20/02453/F - OBJECTION

From: Pete Bebb

Sent: 07 December 2020 03:39

To: Councillor James Macnamara < James. Macnamara@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Maurice Billington < Maurice. Billington@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Andrew Beere < Andrew. Beere@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor Hugo Brown < Hugo. Brown@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Phil Chapman < CouncillorPhil. Chapman@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor John Broad < John. Broad@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Colin Clarke < Colin. Clarke@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Ian Corkin < Ian. Corkin@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Simon Holland < Simon. Holland@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor David Hughes < David. Hughes@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Mike Kerford Byrnes < Councillor Mike. KerfordByrnes@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Cassi Perry < Cassi. Perry@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Lynn Pratt < Lynn. Pratt@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>; Councillor George Reynolds < George. Reynolds@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Barry Richards < Barry. Richards@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Leslie Sibley < Leslie. Sibley@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Katherine Tyson < katherine. tyson@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Barry Wood < Barry. Wood@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor Douglas Webb < Douglas. Webb@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Councillor George Reynolds < George. Reynolds@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Sarah Stevens < Sarah. Stevens < Sarah. Stevens@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>; Sarah. Stevens < Sarah. Stevens < Sarah. Stevens@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>

Subject: Application No.: 20/02453/F - OBJECTION

To Whom It May Concern,

re: Application No.: 20/02453/F - OBJECTION

I vehemently object to this application on multiple levels from noise pollution, environment risk and affect on local business. From my understanding up to 158 large vehicles will run 24hrs a day 7days a week from this facility. Not only will this have a negative impact on the surrounding villages from noise and potential road hazard but no doubt local businesses will suffer too. Who wants to stay in an area next to the huge fuel depot..? Surely the most appropriate solution would be closer to the M40 and nearer Banbury and not in an area of natural beauty.

The risk of pollution is greatly increased with this facility also. It is inevitable that there will be a spillage at some point with this level of activity. The outcome of which would be catastrophic for the environment not to say the impact upon the water table.

Resident Old Red Lion Hornton. OX15 6BS

Kind Regards

Peter Bebb

Peter Bebb

vfx supervisor

DNEG 160 Great Portland Street, London W1W 5QA www.dneg.com | Tel: +44 20 7268 5000 M: 07792550721 Follow us on: LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook | Instagram

This email (including attachments) may contain material that is confidential and/or privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies including any attachments. Thank you. Double Negative Limited. Registered number 3325701.

This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..