Comment for planning application 20/02446/F

1 Bignolds Close, Claydon, Banbury, OX17 1ER

20/02446/F
Glebe Farm Boddington Road Claydon Banbury OX17 1TD
Formation of inland waterways marina with ancillary facilities building, car parking, access and associated landscaping including the construction of a new lake - re-submission of 18/00904/F
Shona King
David Knight

Type of Comment

Comments

Address

I object to the planning application on the following grounds: - 1. The existing roads are inadequate in terms of width, layout and the existing and likely-to-continue appalling condition to take any additional traffic. The roads are single track and in very poor condition. Poor visibility at bends on roads in and on leaving Claydon make additional traffic an increased risk to road users, vehicular, equine and pedestrian. Since vehicular access for construction traffic is to be through the applicant's own land, if approval is given it should be a condition that a new suitable road access to the development site should be provided through the applicant's land from the applicant's own entrance from the highway near Lower Boddington. This is then only a small section of single track road from the two-way road at Lower Boddington, will be improved by the construction of the road bridge over HS2. This would be a much better vehicular access and reduce the additional traffic currently expected on the existing local road network near the site. 2. Planning policy states development for canal use should be adjacent to settlements. This development is not and is therefore contrary to planning policy R9. The fact the applicant argues "...there are no more sustainable potential locations..." does not prove this site is suitable. 3. The scale of the development is excessive in its surroundings and will impact the character of the surrounding area and Claydon in particular. 4. There are existing Marinas close to the proposed development. The case for additional need is not proven. Data used to assess need for additional facilities dates form 2017 and 2009 and may therefore not be reliable. The objection by Cropredy Marina to this development suggests a question over the viability of such an increase in provision.

Received Date

09/11/2020 20:30:02

Objection neighbour

Attachments