

Comment for planning application 20/02126/CLUE

Application Number	20/02126/CLUE
Location	Wroxton Motorcross Track Land Used For Motorcross Stratford Road A422 Wroxton OX15 6HH
Proposal	Certificate of Lawfulness of Existing Use for the use of the land for a mixed use of agriculture and as a motorcross track with race meetings for up to 24 days a year (excluding set up, preparation, clear up and private practice sessions)
Case Officer	George Smith
Organisation Name	
Name	Michael Carabok
Address	Prentice House, Millers Lane, Hornton, Banbury, OX15 6BS
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Further to my recent input to this matter, I would like to add the following points. I clearly hope that the views expressed by myself and many others referencing the significant noise impact, traffic congestion, traffic safety risks and impact on local wildlife will be sufficient to persuade you to reject this application and insist on a return to operation for a maximum of 14 days per year. In the application documents, however, I note with dismay that the applicant makes little or no reference to these points and appears to continue to disregard the views and concerns of the local community. The application appears to be centred on a continued violation of planning permission to obtain the LDC. I would therefore, also, urge you to reject this application on the following grounds: As referenced in many supporting documents, the events have been expanded considerably and changes including earthworks, etc have been made. The applicant clearly intends to further flout the original conditions of a limited number of days of operation. Whilst I am not a planning expert, the analogy I would share is that if I had planning permission for a 20m wide home and added 0.5m to it and then, even after some time, kept adding 3m extensions every year, I think I would rightly be rejected for an LDC and found in violation of planning permissions. Furthermore, in the submitted documents (supporting document page 41), I note that it clearly states the Council reserves the right to re-examine the situation and in the submission, the Council are recorded as: - "urging consideration of best practice and mindfulness in relation to noise and neighbour amenity" : both the actions of increasing usage and impact AND the approach taken with the submission demonstrate, I believe, that the applicant is in no way mindful of noise, neighbor amenity or building a working relationship with the local community - "emphasising that further complaints (if any made) would lead to further investigations and the re-opening of enforcement files" : the number and strength of documents submitted by Hornton and neighbouring residents represent, in my view, a very significant number of complaints. Based on all of the above I hope you are able to reject this application. Yours sincerely, Mike Carabok</p>
Received Date	12/09/2020 01:12:46
Attachments	