

From: Iain Osenton
Sent: 02 November 2020 16:53
To: George Smith
Subject: RE: 20/02016/DISC - CDC Arboriculture

Hi George,

I have just got back in touch with Andy regarding this email, just to follow up with yourself, I believe I may have miss interpreted some items from my desk based assessment, which are now clarified.

I was not aware at the time the garage is timber framed, allowing for smaller concrete pads to form the raft/beam foundations to structural timber beams. I agree with Andy, in that this is an acceptable method of construction within the RPA, and will not require a raised floor level unlike Pile/Beam foundations.

Furthermore I did not realise the RPA fencing to the North of T1 followed the line where hard surfacing meets open soil. The hard surfacing acts as suitable protection for the RPA, and there is no benefit in extending the RPA fencing beyond the open soil boundary, making the proposed location the best option.

Overall I am content with the proposal, no further concerns.

Regards,

Iain Osenton
Arboricultural Officer (South)
Environmental services
Cherwell District Council